Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

An Eco-Semiotic Analysis of *Kantara*: Myth, Ritual, and Ecological Narratives in Indian Cinema

Kota Sai Krishna*[1], P. Asha Madhavi[2], Seshagiri. H[3]

Controller of Examinations, Central University of Karnataka
Kalaburagi – 585367, Karnataka, India, kskbharadwaj@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0004-0441-4582

Asstistant Professor of English, Bapatla Engineering College
Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India, ashamadhavi.pagadala@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0001-9364-0952

Assistant Professor of English, Sri Venkateswara Engineering College
Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India girienglish99@gmail.com

Abstract

This article presents an eco-semiotic analysis of Rishab Shetty's 2022 film *Kantara*, arguing that it functions as a sophisticated eco-semiotic text that articulates a subaltern ecological consciousness. The film employs the indigenous Tulu ritual of *Bhoota Kola*, its associated mythology, and the sacred forest setting as a complex system of signs. This system challenges the dominant, state-sanctioned paradigm of environmental management by asserting the primacy of an ancestral covenant between the human and non-human world. Through a close reading of the film's narrative and visual language, this paper deconstructs how *Kantara* semiotically constructs the forest as a sentient entity, the *Daiva* (divine spirit) as its voice, and the ritual as the medium for ecological justice. The analysis situates *Kantara* within the broader contexts of Indian eco-cinema and the global discourse on "Fourth Cinema," which champions indigenous storytelling. It further examines the film's engagement with and ultimate subversion of the hyper-masculine hero archetype prevalent in South Indian cinema. The paper concludes that *Kantara* offers a powerful counter-narrative to secular environmentalism, one grounded in the sacred, the ancestral, and a cosmology that transcends anthropocentric logic.

Keywords: Eco-semiotics, Kantara, Indian Cinema, Bhoota Kola, Fourth Cinema, Subaltern Studies, Environmental Justice, Myth.

Received 11 March.2023 Revised 09 May 2023 Published 20 May 2023

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

1. Introduction: The Roar of the Land in Contemporary Indian Cinema

Rishab Shetty's 2022 Kannada film Kantara (transl. Mysterious Forest) emerged not merely as a commercial blockbuster but as a profound cultural event in contemporary Indian cinema (Bhattacharjee & Chakraborty, 2023). Its narrative, deeply rooted in the folklore and spirit possession rituals of coastal Karnataka's Tulu Nadu region, resonated with audiences far beyond its linguistic origins, bringing the unique tradition of Bhoota Kola (spirit worship) to national and international attention (Shetty, 2022; Hegde, 2021). The film's central conflict—a tense and often violent struggle between an indigenous forest-dwelling community, a rigid state bureaucracy, and a greedy feudal landlord over a tract of sacred land—positions it at the volatile intersection of modern debates on indigenous rights, environmental policy, and cultural preservation (Bhattacharjee & Chakraborty, 2023; Hegde, 2021).

The phenomenal success of Kantara signals more than an appetite for spectacle; it reflects a deep-seated cultural and political tension in modern India concerning the clash between localized, faith-based knowledge systems and the homogenizing, secular logic of the centralized state. The audience's overwhelming response can be understood as a semiotic event in itself—a sign of public receptivity to narratives that validate indigenous worldviews and critique bureaucratic overreach (Basu & Tripathi, 2023).

This article employs the theoretical lens of eco-semiotics—the study of sign processes that mediate the relationship between nature and culture—to analyze Kantara's intricate narrative structure and visual symbolism (Nöth, 1998; Kull, 2014). It posits that the film's immense power derives from its masterful use of a non-verbal, ritualistic, and mythological language to communicate a profound ecological message. While the film touches upon critical aspects of culture, economy, and polity, its core argument is articulated through a semiotic system that stands in direct opposition to the rationalist discourses of law and science (Bhattacharjee & Chakraborty, 2023).

The central thesis of this analysis is that Kantara operates as a sophisticated eco-semiotic text where the forest, the divine spirits (Daivas), and the ritual of Bhoota Kola function as interconnected signs that articulate a subaltern ecological consciousness. This consciousness, rooted in a sacred covenant established in mythic time, directly confronts and ultimately subverts the semiotic system of the modern state, which interprets the forest as a manageable resource governed by secular law. The film thus champions an ecological ethic grounded in indigenous cosmology, offering a potent critique of state-centric conservation models that often marginalize the very communities that have coexisted with these ecosystems for centuries. Through its narrative and form, Kantara argues for an ecological justice that cannot be delivered in a courtroom

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

but must be enacted through divine, ritualistic intervention.

2. Theoretical Moorings: Eco-semiotics, Fourth Cinema, and Subaltern Ecologies

To deconstruct the layers of meaning in Kantara, this analysis synthesizes three critical theoretical frameworks: eco-semiotics, which provides the tools to analyse the film's environmental sign systems; the concept of Fourth Cinema, which situates the film within the discourse of indigenous filmmaking; and subaltern studies, which illuminates the narrative's engagement with power, marginalization, and resistance.

Principles of Eco-semiotics

Eco-semiotics, a field that emerged from the intersection of semiotics and ecology, studies the role of signs and communication in the relationship between organisms and their environment, with a particular focus on the interplay between nature and culture (Nöth, 1998; Maran, 2014). Unlike traditional semiotics, which is often anthropocentric, eco-semiotics considers how environments are imbued with meaning through cultural codes, symbols, and narratives. It moves beyond viewing nature as a passive backdrop, presenting it as an active participant in the semiosis—the process of meaning-making (Kull, 2014).

By applying the concepts of the semiotician Umberto Eco, we can differentiate between denotative (literal) and connotative (associative) meanings of a sign (Eco, 1976). In Kantara, the state apparatus views the forest denotatively, as a physical area with measurable resources defined by law. For the indigenous community, however, the forest is understood connotatively, as a sacred and ancestral space imbued with spiritual significance. This contrast between a closed text (the state's fixed, unambiguous interpretation) and an open text (the community's polysemic, rich understanding) is at the core of the film's conflict (Eco, 1962). The state's legal documents, such as maps and land deeds, represent a closed text, while the community's myths and rituals function as an open text, inviting multiple interpretations and active participation (Eco, 1976).

Indigenous Storytelling and "Fourth Cinema"

The concept of "Fourth Cinema", articulated by Māori filmmaker Barry Barclay, describes a mode of filmmaking by and for indigenous peoples that seeks to express their own stories, perspectives, and cultural sovereignty, challenging the historically stereotypical and misrepresentative gaze of mainstream cinema (Barclay, 2003; Monani, n.d.). For decades, Indian cinema has perpetuated a narrow and often harmful portrayal of tribal (Adivasi) communities, frequently relegating them to the role of the "other"—primitive, mysterious, or violent figures

disconnected from modernity (Sengupta, 2020; Mandal et al., 2020).

Kantara can be framed as a powerful exemplar of Fourth Cinema principles within a commercial Indian context. The film is not merely about an indigenous community; it adopts and centers an indigenous epistemology as its narrative engine (Basu & Tripathi, 2023). The logic of the film is not driven by the rational, linear progression of a legal drama but by the cyclical, mythic, and prophetic logic of the Bhoota Kola ritual. This narrative choice is a profound semiotic act. A conventional film might structure the conflict around courtroom scenes and legal documents, but in Kantara, these elements of the state are consistently portrayed as corrupt, ineffective, or tragically flawed (Bhattacharjee & Chakraborty, 2023). True justice and narrative resolution are achieved exclusively within the sacred, ritual space of the Kola. By privileging this indigenous mode of understanding and justice, the film formally aligns itself with the Fourth Cinema goal of asserting cultural and epistemological autonomy (Monani, n.d.).

Subalternity and Environmental Justice

Originating with the work of historians like Ranajit Guha, subaltern studies seeks to recover the histories, voices, and agency of marginalized groups who have been silenced by elite historiography (Guha, 1982). Kantara is fundamentally a subaltern narrative, giving voice to a forest community whose existence, rights, and worldview are threatened by dominant power structures: the feudal landlord Devendra and the bureaucratic state, represented by the forest officer Murali (Hegde, 2023). The film's conflict over land is not merely an environmental dispute but a profound struggle for environmental justice, which, in this context, is inseparable from the community's right to exist according to its own cultural and spiritual framework (Shetty, 2022).

In Kantara, this subaltern community's resistance is not articulated through modern political activism but through a reassertion of their ancestral covenant with the land. Their victory, achieved not by human organizing but by divine intervention, positions the Daiva as the ultimate agent of subaltern power. This narrative choice suggests that for this community, true sovereignty and justice lie outside the domain of the secular state, residing instead in the sacred and the ancestral (Hegde, 2023).

3. The Forest as a Contested Sign: Sacred Grove vs. Reserve Forest

At the heart of Kantara lies the forest, which functions as the film's central sign. However, its meaning is fiercely contested, subject to two irreconcilable semiotic systems. The conflict in the film is ultimately a battle to impose a dominant interpretation on this sign—the forest—to define what the forest is and, by extension, who controls it.

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

The Foundational Myth as a Divine Signifier

The film opens with a prologue set in 1847, where a king, seeking peace, encounters a divine stone representing the spirit Panjurli. In exchange for taking the stone, the king gifts a vast expanse of land to the local villagers who serve the deity. This transaction is a divine covenant that transforms the forest from a neutral physical space into a sacred trust, a dhanta kathe (a legend) (Shetty, 2022). This pact, rooted in myth and ritual, is central to the community's relationship with the land. It aligns with anthropological understandings of sacred groves found across India, areas of forest that are preserved not by state law, but by spiritual beliefs and community identity (Gadgil & Vartak, 1975). The covenant establishes a reciprocal relationship: the community protects the forest as the Daiva's domain, and in return, the Daiva protects the community. This sacred meaning is the foundation of the villagers' claim to the land—a claim not written in legal deeds, but in myth and ritual.

Competing Semiotic Systems

The primary conflict in Kantara arises from the clash between two conflicting semiotic systems. The forest, as a physical space, is interpreted through two distinct cultural codes.

- The State's Semiotic System: Murali, the forest officer, represents the modern state's bureaucratic and legal interpretation of the forest. For him, the forest is a "Reserve Forest", a physical space categorized and managed by the Indian Forest Act and various state-level laws in Karnataka (Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy, 2021). In this system, the forest is a quantifiable natural resource subject to management and control by the state. Legal documents, survey maps, boundary stones, and scientific classifications of flora and fauna all serve as key signs within this system. Murali's actions, including surveying the land and fencing it off, attempt to assert the state's control over this land. For him, the indigenous community's rituals and beliefs are nothing more than superstitions, and their practices are viewed as an infringement upon the scientifically-managed forest.
- The Indigenous Semiotic System: In contrast, for Shiva and the villagers, the forest is not simply a physical space but a living entity. It is the sacred domain of the Daiva—a spiritual and ancestral trust that governs their relationship with the environment. The forest is imbued with numinous significance: the sacred stone where the pact was made, the apparition of the boar spirit, the sound of rustling leaves signaling divine presence, and the oral epics (pāḍdanas) that narrate the foundational myth. These signs are part of a semiotic system rooted in reverence and reciprocity with nature, governed by divine law and not human-made statutes. From the villagers' perspective, Murali represents the true encroacher, as the laws he enforces violate a pre-existing, sacred order.

The clash between these two semiotic systems is embodied in the confrontation between

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

Shiva and Murali, as both attempt to inscribe their respective interpretations of the forest onto the land.

Semiotic Breakdown of the Forest Conflict

This central conflict is encapsulated in the following table, which summarizes the competing semiotic systems at play:

Feature	Indigenous Semiotic System (The Sacred Grove)	State Semiotic System (The Reserve Forest)
Signifier	The Forest	The Forest
Signified	A sentient, ancestral deity; a sacred trust	A quantifiable natural resource; state property
Governing Code	Divine Covenant / Myth / Ritual Law	The Karnataka Forest Act / Secular Law
Key Signs	Sacred stone, Boar spirit, **Pāḍdanas** (oral epics)	Maps, Legal documents, Fences, Uniforms
Relationship	Reciprocity, Reverence, Co-existence	Management, Control, Conservation
Source of Authority	The Daiva (Panjurli/Guliga)	The Government / Forest Department
Mediator	The **Bhoota Kola** Performer	The Forest Officer (Murali)

The film demonstrates that these two systems cannot coexist peacefully, as they operate on fundamentally different assumptions about reality. Murali's secular, bureaucratic system, rooted in rationality, cannot comprehend the spiritual and symbolic meaning embedded in the indigenous system. This conflict is symbolized in the film when Murali's jeep is crushed by a falling tree, an event that within the film's logic can be read as a sign of the forest's resistance to the imposition of the state's logic (Shetty, 2022). By the film's climax, Murali abandons his bureaucratic role and aligns himself with the indigenous code, offering a gesture of reverence towards the Daiva—a symbolic and semiotic capitulation of his secular stance to the sacred one (Basu & Tripathi, 2023).

4. The Semiosis of Ritual: Bhoota Kola as Ecological Narrative

If the forest represents the contested sign, then Bhoota Kola, the traditional ritual, serves as the dynamic process of semiosis through which the true meaning of the forest, its sacred

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

covenant, and ecological justice are articulated, reinforced, and ultimately enforced. This ritual is not simply a cultural performance; it is the primary mode of ecological communication in Kantara, acting as the ultimate arbiter of justice and the restoration of ecological balance.

The Daiva as Ecological Sign

Central to the Bhoota Kola ritual are the Daivas—the divine spirits who personify nature's dual aspects: sustenance and retribution. Two primary Daivas, Panjurli (the boar spirit) and Guliga (a more destructive, wrathful deity), play pivotal roles in the ecological and spiritual order of the film.

- **Panjurli**, the boar spirit, signifies the generative, life-sustaining aspect of nature. Historically, in Tulu Nadu, Panjurli is invoked to protect crops from the damage caused by wild boars (Tulunada Kale, n.d.-a). In the film's mythology, Panjurli is portrayed as a benevolent deity, establishing a covenant with the king and setting up a model of sustainable coexistence between the land and the people (Shetty, 2022). As a protector of the land and its resources, Panjurli symbolizes balance, prosperity, and harmony within the community's relationship with the environment.
- **Guliga**, in contrast, represents the wrathful power of nature—its destructive, uncontrollable force. According to folklore, Guliga is known for his insatiable hunger and terrifying ferocity, embodying the "brute fact" of nature's response when it is violated or disrespected (Nöth, 2008). Guliga is invoked when the sacred covenant between the people and the land is broken. His appearance in the film's climax is a powerful reminder that the ecological system will exact retribution when its balance is upset by greed and exploitation, as symbolized by Devendra, the landlord (Shetty, 2022).

In this way, the Daivas serve as ecological signs, embodying the consequences of human actions on the environment: Panjurli as the provider, and Guliga as the avenger. This duality reveals the film's larger ecological message—that nature, when respected, offers sustenance, but when violated, it will respond with forceful retribution.

Performance as Embodied Meaning

The Bhoota Kola ritual is a dense semiotic event, where meaning is embodied and enacted rather than merely spoken. Several key elements in the ritual illustrate how this performance functions as a living language that conveys ecological and spiritual meaning:

- **Costumes and Makeup**: The elaborate process of applying face paint, donning vibrant costumes, and placing the sacred headgear (mudi) on the performer marks the transformation from human to divine. This visual transformation erases the performer's

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

individual identity and signifies that the words and actions following the transformation are no longer human but divine (Pekka, 2005; Prabhu, 2020). The act of becoming the Daiva is an embodiment of the sacred and the ecological message it conveys, which transcends human agency.

- **Dance and Possession**: The energetic and often violent dance performed during the Bhoota Kola is a physical manifestation of the Daiva's power. The dance is not merely for spectacle; it represents a direct communication channel between the spirit world and the human community. The performer's trance state during the ritual signifies the opening of this channel, where the community becomes a medium for the divine message (Pekka, 2005; D'Souza & Kumar, 2023). The body, in this sense, becomes the vessel through which the ecological narrative of the forest's justice is enacted.
- **Pāḍdanas (Oral Epics)**: Accompanying the performance is the chanting of pāḍdanas, oral epics that recount the origin myth of the Daiva being invoked (Pekka, 2005; Wikipedia, n.d.-b). These chants are key semiotic acts of remembrance, reinforcing the sacred covenant that binds the community to the land. The oral epics ground the performance in a shared cultural memory, reminding the community of their responsibility to the land and their dependence on the Daiva's protection.

The Bhoota Kola ritual in Kantara functions as a complex and embodied semiotic system. The transformation of the human performer into the divine Daiva, the powerful dance of possession, and the chanting of oral epics all serve as signs that communicate an ecological message. The ritual is not just a means of expressing faith but a method of articulating ecological justice. Through the Bhoota Kola, the film presents an alternative language of justice—one where ecological balance is maintained through the divine intervention of the Daivas, rather than through human legal processes. By framing the ritual as the ultimate means of ecological communication, the film emphasizes that true ecological justice is grounded in respect for the sacred, ancestral laws of the land.

5. The Climax as Ecological Justice and Subversion of Masculinity

The final sequence of Kantara, centered around the climactic Bhoota Kola ritual, serves as the film's ultimate semiotic statement. It subverts not only the state's legal system but also the traditionally hegemonic notions of masculinity, turning the hyper-masculine protagonist, Shiva, into a vessel for divine justice.

Shiva's Subversion of the Heroic Masculine Archetype

Shiva, as the protagonist, initially embodies many of the traits associated with the stereotypical South Indian hero: raw aggression, physical dominance, and a problematic sense of ownership over women (Kusuma, 2018; Bhattacharjee & Chakraborty, 2023). His character is

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

constructed to reflect a toxic masculinity, one that celebrates aggression and control. His violent behavior, his territoriality, and his complex relationship with women are framed within the archetypal "hero" narrative.

However, the climax radically subverts this trope. When Shiva becomes possessed by Guliga and later Panjurli, he ceases to be Shiva, the flawed human protagonist, and becomes an embodiment of divine judgment. His transformation into the Daiva signifies the transcendence of his flawed masculine identity. The brutal killing of Devendra, the landlord, is framed not as personal revenge but as ritualistic cleansing—an act of ecological justice that restores balance to the ecosystem. The violence is not about retribution for personal wrongs, but about purging the land of the human corruption that has disrupted its sanctity.

Shiva's final roar as the Daiva is a profound moment: it is the voice of the forest itself, reasserting its sovereignty. His disappearance into the forest at the end of the film marks a complete dissolution of the heroic masculine archetype. Shiva does not return to the village as a celebrated victor; instead, he dissolves back into the forest, symbolizing the restoration of a primordial order where humans are not separate from, but integral to, the ecological system. The toxic masculinity that the film initially presents is purged, replaced by the ecological power of the Daiva, which transcends human law and individual agency.

Ecological Justice Beyond Human Law

The narrative's resolution—achieved through divine intervention, rather than through human legal or political processes—emphasizes the central eco-semiotic argument of the film: true ecological justice cannot be delivered by secular law, but by a return to the sacred. The forest's justice is not something that can be argued or legislated in a courtroom, but is instead enacted through ritual, spirituality, and ancestral laws.

This subversion of masculinity, and the central idea that ecological justice is achieved outside of human systems of power, is a profound critique of both patriarchy and state systems. By positioning the Daiva as the ultimate agent of justice, the film aligns itself with an ecological ethic that privileges nature's wisdom over human governance.

The climactic Bhoota Kola sequence in Kantara serves as the film's most profound semiotic act, where the transformation of Shiva into the divine Daiva symbolizes both the restoration of ecological balance and the subversion of masculine power. This final act of justice is not delivered by the secular state, but by the forest itself, through divine intervention. The film critiques toxic masculinity and human hubris, replacing it with a vision of ecological harmony

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

where the sacred governs over the secular. Through this subversion, Kantara offers a radical reimagining of justice, rooted in the sacred relationship between humanity and the natural world.

6. Contextualizing *Kantara*: Indigenous Voices and Environmental Discourse in Indian Cinema

Kantara sits at the intersection of indigenous storytelling, ecological discourse, and mainstream cinema, marking a significant cultural moment in Indian filmmaking. To fully appreciate its impact, it is crucial to situate the film within both the broader context of Indian cinema and the growing discourse on environmentalism, particularly indigenous ecological knowledge systems.

Beyond Stereotypes

One of the key innovations of Kantara lies in its respectful portrayal of Tulu culture, which includes the Bhoota Kola ritual and its surrounding beliefs. The film represents the indigenous community from an internal perspective, something that is rare in Indian cinema. For decades, indigenous (Adivasi) communities have been subjected to stereotypical portrayals in mainstream films, often depicted as either primitive and mystic or as violent and irrational (Sengupta, 2020; Mandal et al., 2020). These depictions tend to marginalize indigenous peoples and reduce them to otherness, a portrayal that Kantara decisively rejects.

In stark contrast, Kantara immerses the audience in the lived reality of its indigenous characters. The community's belief system, rooted in the Bhoota Kola ritual, is presented not as an exotic or backward practice, but as an integral and vital part of the community's worldview. By centering the Bhoota Kola ritual and the Daivas as key narrative elements, the film not only elevates indigenous knowledge systems but also challenges the anthropocentric narratives that dominate Indian cinema. The sacred and ecological dimensions of the community's practices are shown to be alive and relevant, providing a sophisticated ethical and spiritual framework for engaging with the natural world.

Kantara and Indian Eco-Cinema

Kantara makes an important contribution to the genre of eco-cinema in India, a genre that has gained prominence over recent years as environmental issues such as climate change, deforestation, and human-wildlife conflict have come to the forefront. Films like Sherni (2021) and Kadvi Hawa (2017) have approached environmental themes primarily through a scientific or social-activist lens, addressing issues such as conservation policies, the threats to biodiversity, and

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

the impact of human actions on wildlife (Chawla, 2025; Thomas & Kumar, 2019).

While these films take a secular, policy-oriented approach to environmental justice, Kantara diverges from this model by framing its ecological crisis through mythological and spiritual terms. In the world of Kantara, the root cause of environmental destruction is not flawed forest management policies or a lack of scientific awareness but rather the violation of a sacred covenant with nature. The solution, therefore, is not found in better policies or legal reforms, but in a return to the ancestral laws and rituals that maintain the balance of the land. This film offers a spiritual critique of modern environmentalism, arguing that ecological justice requires reverence for the sacred, a reverence that is often overlooked by state and corporate-driven conservation agendas.

Kantara challenges the secularization of nature that dominates much of contemporary environmental discourse, particularly in mainstream cinema. By reinstating the spiritual dimension of ecological engagement, the film offers an alternative view of eco-justice, one that does not rely on human legislation but on a divine and ancestral connection to the land.

Myth and Folklore in a Modern Medium

Another vital contribution of Kantara is its revival of mythological storytelling within the modern cinematic medium. Myths and folklore have a long-standing tradition in Indian cinema, often being used to frame narratives with spiritual or historical significance. However, over time, these stories have been increasingly marginalized in favor of contemporary narratives focused on urban life or national politics (Sharma, 2021; Kapoor, 2009).

Kantara demonstrates that ancient myths and folklore are not merely historical relics or outdated narratives but potent tools for addressing contemporary issues. By embedding its ecological and political messages within the structure of local legends, the film revitalizes the power of oral traditions and cultural storytelling. The Bhoota Kola ritual, as a cultural practice rooted in folklore, is central to the film's ecological and ethical framework. It underscores the living relevance of ancient traditions in solving modern problems, particularly those related to environmental degradation and land rights.

Through this, Kantara contributes to a revival of mythological cinema, blending traditional cultural forms with modern cinematic techniques to create a film that is both entertaining and intellectually profound. The film reinforces the idea that myths and rituals are not simply relics of the past but living, breathing systems of meaning capable of offering solutions to the crises of the present.

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

7. The Echo of a Primordial Legend

The eco-semiotic analysis of Kantara reveals the film as a deeply layered text, operating on multiple levels: as an action-packed drama, a cultural document, and an ecological allegory. The film employs a rich and coherent semiotic system—through the forest, the Daivas Panjurli and Guliga, and the ritual of Bhoota Kola—to express a powerful ecological conflict.

At its core, Kantara challenges the dominant secular logic of state-driven environmental policies and conservation models, offering a spiritual, indigenous counterpoint. It suggests that true ecological harmony is not something that can be achieved through legislative action, but through a return to sacred traditions and a deep respect for the land's spiritual and ecological balance.

The film's climactic resolution, achieved not through human intervention or legal processes, but through the divine justice of the Daiva, is its ultimate semiotic statement. It asserts that the land has a memory, a voice, and a power of its own—one that cannot be ignored without severe ecological consequences.

In doing so, Kantara offers a significant contribution to Indian eco-cinema, a genre that has largely ignored the spiritual and cultural dimensions of environmentalism. It argues for the validity of indigenous knowledge systems, positioning them as essential in addressing the global ecological crisis. By re-enchanting the landscape and centering a non-anthropocentric worldview, Kantara brings an essential culturally-specific perspective to the global eco-cinema movement and the larger conversation about decolonizing environmentalism.

The echo of the primordial legend that resounds throughout the film—the land has a voice, and it will roar back—is a warning to modern society about the perils of disregarding the sacred and ecological wisdom embedded in indigenous cultures. In this, Kantara is not just a film, **but a** cultural and ecological manifesto, urging us to listen to the land and its stories before it is too late.

8. Broader Implications and Future Directions

Kantara extends beyond the realm of cinema to challenge the dominant narratives of environmentalism and indigenous rights in modern India. Its cultural significance resonates not just within the world of cinema, but in the ongoing struggle between indigenous communities and state authorities over land, resources, and cultural sovereignty. By championing a worldview rooted in ritual, mythology, and spiritual ecology, Kantara highlights the urgency of integrating traditional ecological knowledge with modern environmental practices.

Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol (Iss.), 22, 2023
pp. 129–145

Indigenous Environmental Knowledge and Policy Reform

One of the major implications of Kantara lies in its subtle yet forceful critique of state-centric conservation models that often marginalize or entirely disregard indigenous communities. Through its depiction of the Bhoota Kola ritual and the sacred forest, the film advocates for a more holistic, inclusive approach to environmental conservation, one that recognizes the ecological wisdom embedded in indigenous practices. This calls for a reconsideration of policy frameworks that often pit tribal rights against conservation agendas, assuming that indigenous ways of life are inherently detrimental to the environment.

The growing global recognition of traditional ecological knowledge in environmental discourse—spanning across fields like biodiversity conservation, sustainable agriculture, and climate change mitigation—calls for the inclusion of such practices in national and international policy-making. Kantara illustrates that environmental justice is not merely a question of protecting the environment but of ensuring that marginalized communities are granted the right to self-determination over their sacred lands.

Towards a Decolonized Environmentalism

Another critical takeaway from the film is its rejection of colonial or neo-colonial environmental policies that often impose western models of conservation on local communities. As the environmental crisis intensifies globally, a growing movement for decolonizing environmentalism has emerged, advocating for the integration of indigenous perspectives on nature and conservation.

Kantara challenges the assumption that secular, scientific rationality should be the only framework for addressing environmental issues. By presenting the sacred forest and rituals as the key to ecological justice, it promotes a vision of environmentalism that is grounded in cultural specificity and spiritual understanding. This represents an essential step in the decolonization of environmental thought, which must move beyond the universality of scientific models and consider local realities and cultural diversity in its approach.

Relevance for Global Environmental Movements

Though Kantara is deeply rooted in the cultural and ecological context of Tulu Nadu, its

themes have significant global resonance. The clash between indigenous knowledge systems and modern state policies is a recurrent theme worldwide, particularly in the face of global environmental crises such as climate change, deforestation, and the loss of biodiversity. The film's central message—that ecological justice can only be achieved through the respect and restoration of sacred, ancestral connections to the land—speaks directly to global indigenous rights movements, and to the environmental justice movements that are increasingly calling for the recognition of indigenous sovereignty over natural resources.

As global environmentalism continues to grapple with the inadequacies of state-led conservation and corporate-driven resource exploitation, Kantara offers a compelling counter-narrative that emphasizes the importance of community-driven and culturally grounded environmental practices. Its message is a timely reminder that the solutions to global ecological crises may well lie in the local, in the indigenous practices that have sustained ecological balance for centuries.

9. Cinematic Art as a Medium for Social Change

In its exploration of ecological themes and indigenous knowledge, Kantara emerges as more than just a film; it is a call to action. The eco-semiotic analysis demonstrates how the film's narrative is intricately woven into the fabric of Tulu culture, rituals, and spiritual ecology. It critiques not only the modern state and its bureaucratic systems, but also patriarchy, toxic masculinity, and environmental exploitation.

At its core, Kantara illustrates the power of cinema as a transformative force—a medium that can spark social change by bringing marginalized voices to the fore. It challenges the norms of mainstream Indian cinema by offering a complex, respectful portrayal of indigenous traditions, and by showing how these traditions can serve as a potent tool for social and ecological justice. The film does not simply entertain, it educates and activates the audience to think critically about the relationship between humanity and the environment, and to reimagine justice in spiritual and ecological terms.

In conclusion, Kantara serves as both a cinematic masterpiece and a cultural manifesto for the protection and preservation of indigenous knowledge and spiritual ecology. It challenges contemporary audiences to reconsider their understanding of justice, power, and the natural world, urging them to reconnect with the sacred and ecological wisdom that has been passed down through generations. This connection, as Kantara powerfully illustrates, may well be the key to resolving the most pressing environmental challenges facing humanity today.

Acknowledgements: None **Conflict of Interest: None**

References

[1] Barclay, B. (2003). *Mana Tuturu: Maori treasures and intellectual property rights*. University of Hawaii Press.

[2] Basu, S., & Tripathi, S. (2023). *Film Review: Indigenous Epistemology, Media, and the Representation of Women in Kantara*. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4449512

[3] Bhattacharjee, S., & Chakraborty, A. (2023, January 14). Deconstructing Kantara: A heady mix of metaphysics, local traditions and class struggle. *Economic and Political Weekly*, *58*(2).

[4] Doniger, W. (2009). *The Hindus: An alternative history*. Penguin Press.

[5] D'Souza, V., & Kumar, A. (2023). Spiritual Well-being, Mental Health, and Burnout among Daiva Narthakas of Tulunadu. *Journal of Indian Health Psychology*, *18*(1), 89-99.

[6] Eco, U. (1962). *Opera aperta*. Bompiani.

[7] Eco, U. (1976). *A theory of semiotics*. Indiana University Press.

[8] Farina, A., Santolini, R., Pagliaro, G., Scozzafava, S., & Schipani, I. (2005). Ecosemiotics: A new field of competence for ecology to overcome the frontier between environmental complexity and human culture in the Mediterranean. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, *53*(3-4), 167–175.(https://doi.org/10.1560/52A8-4E2Q-26CN-5T28)

[9] Gadgil, M., & Vartak, V. D. (1975). Sacred Groves of India: A Plea for Continued Conservation. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, *72*(2), 314-320.

[10] Guha, R. (1982). On some aspects of the historiography of colonial India. In R. Guha (Ed.), *Subaltern studies I: Writings on South Asian history and society*. Oxford University Press.

[11] Hegde, S. (2021). *Bhoota Aradhane: An illustrated picturebook on the folk ritual of*

Tulunadu. Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad.

[12] Hegde, V. (2023). Muffled Voices: Subaltern Existence in The Movie 'Kantara'. *International Journal of Novel Research and Development*, *8*(10), 289-292.

[13] Kapoor, S. (2009). *The Indian Encyclopaedia*. Cosmo Publications.

[14] Kull, K. (2014). Ecosemiotics: Main principles and current developments. *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, *96*(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/geob.12036

[15] Kusuma, K. N. (2018). Female body and male heroism in South Indian cinema. *Language in India, 18*(6), 133-143.

[16] Mandal, A., Rai, A., & Singh, S. (2020). The Portrayal of Tribal Communities in Indian Cinema: A Critical Review. *The Academic*.

[17] Maran, T. (2014). Ecosemiotics: Main principles and current developments. *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, *96*(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/geob.12036

[18] Monani, S. (n.d.). *Fourth Cinema's Eco-contours*. Rachel Carson Center for Environment and Society.

[19] Nöth, W. (1998). Ecosemiotics. *Sign Systems Studies*, *26*, 332-359.(https://doi.org/10.12697/SSS.1998.26.0.18)

[20] Nöth, W. (2008). Ecosemiotics and the semiotics of nature. *Agro-alimentary technics, technologies and engineering*, *9*(1), 418-423.

[21] Pekka, K. (2005). *Bhutas and Daivas: Changing cosmology of spirit possession in Tulu Nadu, South India*. National Museum of Finland.

[22] Prabhu, S. (2020). Bhuta Kola Ritual Spirit Possesion in Mangalore: Through the Spectacles of Identity Politics. *ResearchGate*.

[23] Sengupta, M. (2020). The ‘othering’ of tribal communities in Indian cinema. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, *56*(3), 350-364. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2020.1765432

[24] Sharma, R. (2021). Mythological narratives in contemporary Indian cinema: A study of the Bahubali series. *Journal of Film and Video, 73*(2), 28-40.

https://doi.org/10.5406/jfilmvideo.73.2.0028

[25] Shetty, R. (Director). (2022). *Kantara* [Film]. Hombale Films.

[26] Thomas, T. G., & Kumar, N. S. P. (2019). A portrayal of ecological perspectives on climate change in Indian cinema. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, *8*(3), 4250–4255. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.C5153.098319

[27] Tulunada Kale. (n.d.-a). *Panjurli*. Retrieved from http://tulunadakale.weebly.com/panjurli.html

[28] Tulunada Kale. (n.d.-b). *Tulunadu Daiva*. Retrieved from http://tulunadakale.weebly.com/tulundad-daiva.html

[29] Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy. (2021). *Legal Framework for Forestation on Private Lands in Karnataka*.

[30] Wikipedia. (n.d.-a). *Kantara (2022 film)*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kantara_(2022_film)

[31] Wikipedia. (n.d.-b). *Buta Kola*. Retrieved from(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buta_Kola)

******