Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations
ISSN: 1841-2394, e-ISSN: 2471-0881
Vol 24 (1), 2025
pp. 900–918

A Bourdieusian Approach to Personal Brand Equity: Integrating Cultural, Social, Economic, Symbolic, and Psychological Capital

[1]Péter Szántó, [2,3,*] Árpád Papp-Váry

[1] Budapest University of Economics and Business, Doctoral School of Entrepreneurship and Business

[2] Budapest University of Economics and Business, Faculty of International Management and Business

[3] University of Sopron, Alexandre Lamfalussy Faculty Of Economics

* Corresponding author: Árpád Papp-Váry, papp-vary.arpad@uni-bge.hu

ABSTRACT: This study develops a conceptual framework for understanding how individuals build and enhance Personal Brand Equity (PBE) through the strategic accumulation and communication of intangible assets. Drawing on Bourdieu's capital theory, the research explores the roles of cultural, social, economic and symbolic capital, and extends this framework by incorporating psychological capital as a complementary dimension. Personal Brand Equity is defined as the perceived value of an individual's professional identity, shaped by credibility, visibility and consistency across contexts. Adopting an interdisciplinary approach that integrates insights from philosophy, linguistics, sociology, psychology and marketing, the paper presents Personal Branding as a socially embedded, communicative and dynamic process. Theoretically, it offers a Bourdieusian extension for analysing identity construction in professional settings. Practically, it provides actionable insights for individuals seeking to strengthen their personal brands in both digital and offline environments.

Keywords: personal branding, personal brand equity, cultural capital, social capital, economic capital, symbolic capital, psychological capital, Bourdieu, identity construction, philosophy of branding, linguistic framing, interdisciplinary branding, professional visibility

Received: 06-June-2025 **Revised:** 03-July 2025 **Accepted:** 02-Aug 2025

1. Introduction

Despite an increasing body of literature addressing personal brands, the conceptualization and measurement of Personal Brand Equity (PBE) remain underdeveloped and underexplored. Research efforts in this area have often been fragmented and limited, focusing on specific occupations or demographics (Bendisch et al., 2013; Fetscherin, 2015; Dumont & Ots, 2020; Lobpries, et al., 2018; Ottovordemgentschenfelde, 2017; Vallas & Christin, 2017; Cavusoglu & Atik, 2021). This narrow scope has created a significant gap in the development of a comprehensive and standardized framework for understanding PBE within varying social and professional contexts.

The existing theoretical foundations for PBE often draw from multiple disciplines, but the absence of unified, empirical approaches limits the practical and theoretical contributions. Capital theories, as conceptualized by scholars like Bourdieu, remain underutilized in this field. Bourdieu's frameworks of cultural, social, economic and symbolic capital offer critical insights that can enhance our understanding of PBE and its implications for professional success and personal brand management (Bourdieu, 1986; Dumont & Ots, 2020).

This study aims to address the research gaps by examining the value-driven constructs of Personal

Branding, with a particular emphasis on their capital dimensions. The integration of theoretical frameworks from the above-mentioned diverse disciplines provides a robust foundation for this exploration. From a marketing perspective, personal brands can be seen as humanized forms of brand management, driven by concepts like brand differentiation, visibility and perceived value (Aaker, 1991; Keller, 1993). In psychology, self-concept, social identity and impression management play central roles in the development and maintenance of personal brands (Goffman, 1959; Khedher, 2015). Sociology contributes insights into the impact of social capital and networks, which facilitate access to resources, trust and professional influence (Granovetter, 1973; Coleman, 1988; Putnam, 1995a,b; Zott & Huy, 2007).

Philosophy adds to the discourse by reflecting on the notions of authenticity, selfhood and the ethical dimensions of self-representation — key concerns in how individuals craft and manage their public personas. Linguistics, in turn, provides essential tools for analyzing the role of language in identity construction, including how personal brands are shaped through discourse, narratives and symbolic expression across both interpersonal and digital communication (Gorbatov et al., 2018; van Dijk, 2006).

What is evident is that Personal Branding is inherently multidisciplinary. Previous literature reviews have emphasized this multifaceted nature, noting that Personal Branding evolves from a diverse range of theories and concepts (Gorbatov et al., 2018; Wee & Brooks, 2010). This study aims to address the current research gap by developing a comprehensive approach to understand Personal Brand Equity (PBE) through the lens of capital theory. To clarify its scope, Personal Brand Equity can be defined as the perceived value of an individual's personal brand across multiple audiences, shaped by factors such as credibility, visibility, and consistency. This construct serves as the outcome of effectively mobilized capital in social and professional environments (Szántó et al., 2025).

The research questions guiding this study are as follows:

- Research Question 1: How does cultural capital affect the development and perceived value of Personal Brand Equity?
- Research Question 2: In what ways does social capital contribute to the development and enhancement of Personal Brand Equity?
- Research Question 3: What role does psychological capital play in sustaining and communicating a strong personal brand?

2. Theoretical Overview of the Main Concepts

It is evident that the theoretical foundations of Personal Branding draw significantly from multiple disciplinary perspectives, with identity theory in psychology providing critical insights. This psychological framework emphasizes the importance of self-concept, self-presentation, and social identity in shaping and evolving personal brands (Khedher, 2015; Goffman, 1959). Two aspects are integral to build a coherent and impactful personal brand: the individual's perception of themselves and their ability to align their personal and professional identities. Self-concept, as highlighted by Mead (1934) and further developed by later scholars, underscores how individuals internalize their social roles and translate these roles into efforts that enhance visibility, credibility, and influence within their social and professional networks.

In the realm of marketing, seminal works by Aaker (1991) and Keller (1993) conceptualize brands as entities capable of creating perceptions and influencing consumer engagement through differentiation, visibility, and consistent messaging. Personal brands, in a similar manner, operate within social and professional spheres, where individuals craft and refine public personas to influence how they are perceived by colleagues, clients, and broader audiences (Fetscherin & Dinnie, 2010). The strategic alignment of personal values and professional objectives with brand narratives is an essential element in personal brand development, as it drives trust, loyalty, and engagement (Labrecque et al., 2011).

The concept of social capital within sociology, articulated by Bourdieu (1986) and expanded upon by

scholars like Coleman (1988) and Putnam (1995a,b), emphasizes the value of social networks, trust, and mutual exchanges in enhancing personal influence and professional opportunities. Granovetter's (1973) theory of "weak ties" illustrates how broad and diverse networks can serve as crucial channels for building visibility and accessing new opportunities. In the context of Personal Branding, an individual's ability to establish, maintain, and leverage social connections is central to enhancing their brand equity and overall professional influence (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). Social media platforms, as contemporary digital extensions of social networks, further amplify these effects by enabling real-time interactions, visibility, and network building (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010; Cavusoglu & Atik, 2021).

In addition to these dominant disciplinary perspectives, philosophy contributes to the understanding of Personal Branding by addressing questions of authenticity, selfhood, and the ethical implications of constructing and promoting a public identity. These reflections are particularly relevant in an era where personal and professional boundaries are increasingly blurred. The concept of authenticity has been explored by Taylor (1991), who emphasizes the moral significance of being true to oneself within a social and dialogical context. Gergen (1991) discusses the postmodern self as fragmented and performative, challenging the notion of a coherent identity and raising ethical concerns about the strategic presentation of self in professional environments.

Marketing philosophy also plays a crucial role in framing how individuals are positioned as brands. Hackley (2003) highlights the interpretive and discursive nature of marketing, suggesting that branding is not merely a functional process but one embedded in cultural and philosophical assumptions about value, identity, and meaning. Similarly, Tadajewski and Brownlie (2008) advocate for a critical perspective that interrogates the socio-political underpinnings of branding practices, including how power, ideology, and representation shape both corporate and personal brand narratives.

Linguistics enriches the field by examining how language constructs and negotiates identity, especially through narrative, framing, and discourse strategies. As Bucholtz and Hall (2005) emphasize, identity is not a fixed essence but an emergent property of interaction. This view aligns with the work of Kovács (2024), who introduces the concept of marketing linguistics, emphasizing how language in marketing does not merely reflect reality but actively shapes it. He argues that rhetorical and stylistic choices in brand communication, including tone, metaphor and narrative structure, significantly shape how both corporate and personal brands are formed and understood. In online environments where language, visuals and interaction are closely intertwined, linguistic analysis becomes essential. These platforms do not simply transmit identity but actively participate in its construction through discourse, positioning and interpretive patterns (Page, 2012; Georgakopoulou, 2017).

The way individuals formulate self-descriptions, select specific expressions and use symbolic references contributes to how their personal brands are received and interpreted by various audiences. Linguistics draws attention to how meaning is produced and negotiated in these interactions, while philosophy offers deeper reflections on authenticity, ethical self-presentation and the tension between strategic communication and personal coherence. These dimensions are especially relevant today, when professional visibility is increasingly shaped by performative, often fragmented, identity displays.

Together, linguistic, philosophical, psychological, marketing and sociological perspectives reveal the deeply interdisciplinary nature of Personal Branding. As Gandini (2015) notes, Personal Branding extends beyond conventional notions of reputation by embedding individuals within wider social and economic frameworks. This perspective offers a foundation for analysing how different forms of capital influence Personal Brand Equity and provides a richer understanding of how identity is strategically constructed in contemporary contexts.

Numerous studies have confirmed that achieving success in today's highly competitive employment landscape requires individuals to take personal responsibility for their careers and entrepreneurial pursuits (Arthur et al., 2017). One of the critical factors influencing both job and career success is the ability to stand out, not only through technical and professional expertise but also through personal qualities and effective self-promotion (Harris & Rae, 2011; Pagis & Ailon, 2017; Prige et al., 2024). These self-

promotional practices are increasingly seen as ethical and identity-laden decisions, where individuals must balance authenticity with strategic visibility, a tension explored in both philosophical and marketing literature (Taylor, 1989; Gandini, 2016).

The adoption of marketing principles allows professionals to proactively shape their Personal Brand Equity, thereby influencing their career trajectories and professional reputation (Vallas & Cummins, 2015). This direct relationship between Personal Branding efforts and the development of Personal Brand Equity mirrors the dynamics observed in brand management, where stakeholders' perceptions, behaviors and attitudes shape brand value (Bendisch et al., 2013). By aligning Personal Branding strategies with career aspirations, individuals can effectively position themselves within their professional communities, enhancing their visibility, credibility and influence.

The theoretical foundations for this perspective are often rooted in sociological frameworks, particularly Bourdieu's (1986) capital theory, which underscores the accumulation and mobilization of different forms of capital, such as cultural, social and economic, to achieve social and professional advantage. Although Goffman (1959) did not write about Personal Branding per se, his dramaturgical approach to self-presentation provides a useful foundation for interpreting how individuals manage impressions in social settings. Concepts such as front stage and backstage behavior, audience segmentation and role performance can be meaningfully adapted to modern professional contexts, including digital and online environments.

It is important to recognize that the rise of digital technologies and social media has significantly expanded the arenas in which Personal Branding takes place (Cavusoglu & Atik, 2021). Online platforms provide new opportunities for visibility, engagement and curated self-expression, while simultaneously raising challenges related to authenticity, privacy and the ongoing maintenance of one's public image (Labrecque et al., 2011; Khedher, 2015). The linguistic strategies used in these spaces, including bios, captions, hashtags and narrative self-presentation, play a central role in constructing personal identity (De Fina et al., 2006). Therefore, any contemporary conceptualization of Personal Branding must incorporate both real-life and digital dimensions, while also addressing the philosophical implications of selfhood and the linguistic mechanisms through which personal identity is communicated.

The Literature Review underscores the complex and interdisciplinary foundations of Personal Branding. Psychological theories, such as identity formation and self-concept, reveal how Personal Branding is closely tied to self-presentation and social identity (Khedher, 2015; Goffman, 1959). Concurrently, marketing theories highlight how individuals, akin to traditional brands, engage in strategic differentiation, visibility and consistent messaging to influence perceptions and foster professional engagement (Aaker, 1991; Keller, 1993). This dynamic, multifaceted process is further developed by sociological perspectives, including Bourdieu's theory of social capital (1986) and Granovetter's network theory (1973), both of which emphasize the importance of trust, reciprocity and social networks. By integrating philosophical insights on ethical self-representation and linguistic research on discourse and identity construction, this framework offers a deeper and more nuanced understanding of how various forms of capital support effective Personal Branding strategies (Taylor, 1989; De Fina et al., 2006; Gandini, 2015).

3. Reinterpreting Bourdieu's Capital Theory for Personal Branding

Bourdieu identified four distinct types of capital: cultural, social, economic and symbolic. Each plays a pivotal role in shaping individual and collective social trajectories (Bourdieu, 1986). His conceptualization offers not only a sociological framework, but also a philosophical one, in the sense that it challenges assumptions about meritocracy, legitimacy and identity. This section explores these capitals by examining how they can be strategically deployed within Personal Branding processes (Gandini, 2015; Gorbatov et al., 2018).

3.1 Cultural Capital

Bourdieu (1998) defines cultural capital as a multifaceted construct that exists in three interrelated forms: the embodied state, the objectified state and the institutionalized state. The embodied state includes the knowledge, skills and dispositions acquired through lifelong socialization, often inherited through family and cultural heritage. These internalized forms of capital are closely tied to what philosophy refers to as habitus, a pre-reflective sense of how to act, speak and respond within social contexts. This philosophical notion of embodied understanding highlights how identity is not simply expressed but lived through practice.

Cultural capital also manifests in the objectified state, referring to material objects and artifacts that carry cultural value (Tan, 2017). These are not merely economic possessions but semiotic carriers of meaning, whose value depends on the observer's interpretive competence. Linguistically, the ability to decode such symbols (whether in language, fashion, or aesthetics) requires a shared cultural frame of reference (Bourdieu, 1998; Gandini, 2016). A valuable painting, for example, may serve as a cultural signifier that only those with the appropriate educational or experiential background can fully appreciate.

Institutionalized cultural capital refers to formal recognition by accredited institutions, such as degrees, diplomas, certifications or professional awards. In academic and professional contexts, these forms of validation act as gatekeeping mechanisms and significantly affect access to opportunity, credibility and influence (Bourdieu, 1998; Coleman, 1988). A degree from a prestigious university not only signals competence but also serves as an anchor for Personal Brand Equity, shaping perceptions among peers, employers and clients (Labrecque et al., 2011).

Bourdieu (1979, 1983, 1986, 2007) emphasized that the legitimacy and effectiveness of cultural capital depend on the specific field in which it is employed. What is highly valued in academia may be irrelevant or even counterproductive in corporate marketing or entrepreneurial settings. Accordingly, individuals must continuously adapt their habitus when navigating different social arenas. This aligns with linguistic research on audience design, showing that people tailor their language and symbolic cues to match the expectations of different social fields (Bell, 1984).

By recognizing the fluid, contextual and performative nature of cultural capital, we gain a more nuanced understanding of how individuals position themselves strategically in the realm of Personal Branding. Moreover, this perspective invites a deeper philosophical reflection on how cultural legitimacy is constructed, and a linguistic appreciation of how identity is mediated and negotiated through communicative practices.

3.2 Social Capital

Bourdieu (1986) conceptualizes social capital as a collection of resources derived from the network of connections that individuals can mobilize to achieve specific goals and enhance their social and professional standing. This perspective situates social capital not merely as a set of transactional relationships but as a socially constructed resource embedded within broader cultural and symbolic systems. From a philosophical standpoint, social capital reflects deeper questions about social obligation, recognition and the ethics of reciprocity in professional life. The efficacy of social capital depends on the extent and strength of these relationships, as well as the volume and type of capital that individuals can access through their networks (Bianchi & Vieta, 2020).

Central to Bourdieu's theory is the idea that social capital presupposes mutual trust and reciprocity among network members who are willing to share their resources, expertise and connections to generate opportunities and shared value (Bourdieu, 1998). This trust-based dimension highlights the importance of social norms and tacit agreements that guide behaviour within networks. Linguistically, the maintenance of social capital often relies on the language of affiliation, solidarity and obligation. Routine forms of discourse, such as professional recommendations, endorsements or informal exchanges, play a critical role

in shaping perceptions of reliability and commitment within networks (De Fina et al., 2006).

Coleman (1988) expands this notion by emphasizing how personal relationships and interconnected networks foster trust, set expectations and create and enforce social norms. He describes these connections as embedded within the fabric of social life, suggesting that the strength and utility of social capital emerge from this embeddedness. For example, social capital can enhance career opportunities by providing access to insider knowledge, mentorship and professional referrals.

Putnam (1995a,b) further characterizes social capital as comprising networks, norms and social trust that promote coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit. He argues that the quality of life and individual performance are significantly shaped by participation in social networks. High levels of engagement and mutual trust can foster cooperation, reduce transaction costs and strengthen social cohesion. In professional contexts, individuals embedded in strong social networks may benefit from collaborative opportunities, access to diverse resources and enhanced visibility within their industry (Granovetter, 1973; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998; Zott & Huy, 2007).

Burt (2000) highlights an additional dimension of social capital, which he describes in terms of advantage. According to Burt, individuals with superior connections and expansive networks tend to enjoy higher returns in both social and economic terms. The concept known as the structural holes theory suggests that individuals who bridge gaps between otherwise disconnected groups can access unique information, exert influence and derive substantial benefits from their positions. This bridging role not only strengthens their social capital but also creates opportunities for professional advancement, innovation and leadership (Gandini, 2016).

The conceptualization of social capital by Nahapiet and Ghoshal (1998) further elaborates on its significance within organizational environments. Their framework identifies key variables, including access to resources both within and beyond one's immediate professional network, that contribute to the creation and mobilization of social capital. They emphasize the importance of network density, shared norms and trust as facilitators of knowledge exchange, collaboration and collective success. In contemporary professional settings, leveraging social capital can foster innovation, enhance team cohesion and improve individual performance (Labrecque et al., 2011; Gorbatov et al., 2018; Bianchi & Vieta, 2020).

Social capital represents a multifaceted and indispensable asset that shapes individuals' personal and professional trajectories. Its relevance extends across contexts, from informal social relationships to formal organizational structures, highlighting its critical role in Personal Branding and the development of Personal Brand Equity. Furthermore, understanding how social capital is constructed through discourse and how it reflects underlying philosophical commitments to trust and reciprocity provides additional depth to its interpretation within Personal Branding.

3.3 Economic Capital

Economic capital, as described by Bourdieu (1986), includes financial resources, material assets and wealth that individuals or organizations can use to achieve specific goals and improve their social position. Among the four forms of capital, economic capital is typically the most concrete and measurable. It encompasses income, property, investments and other financial means. The way individuals accumulate and use economic capital has a direct effect on their access to education, employment opportunities and influence in various fields (Bourdieu, 1998).

In Bourdieu's framework, economic capital functions both on its own and in support of other capital types. For instance, financial resources may be allocated to formal education, which increases cultural capital (Tan, 2017). They may also be spent on exclusive events or professional memberships, which expand social capital. Similarly, people invest in Personal Branding activities, such as building a website or running paid advertisements, which enhances their Personal Brand Equity.

Philosophically, economic capital raises questions about fairness, visibility and the ethics of advantage.

Possessing visible signs of wealth is not just a matter of assets but a form of social communication. Others interpret these signs as indicators of success, competence or prestige. This reflects symbolic interactionist thinking, where meaning is assigned through shared cultural codes (Goffman, 1959). From a linguistic standpoint, economic status is often conveyed in subtle ways through tone, terminology and stylistic framing in resumes, bios or digital profiles.

Becker (1993) approached the topic differently through the concept of human capital. He emphasized the importance of education, skills and work experience as personal investments that can later translate into financial returns. In this view, economic capital not only includes money and property but also reflects a person's capacity to earn and contribute value (Seibert et al., 2001; Marvel et al., 2016).

In Personal Branding, economic capital influences many aspects of brand visibility and reach. Individuals with more financial means are better positioned to invest in high-impact tools like executive coaching, premium events or targeted marketing. These actions improve their credibility and distinctiveness within a given professional community (Bendisch et al., 2013). Entrepreneurs with strong financial backing can support innovative projects, launch personal content platforms and amplify their message through paid promotion.

Bourdieu also pointed out that economic capital is closely related to symbolic capital, which includes prestige, legitimacy and public recognition. In certain professions, wealth itself may serve as a sign of success. In other domains, such as the arts or academia, symbolic and cultural capital carry more weight (Granovetter, 1973; Putnam, 1995a,b). The context determines which form of capital has the greatest impact.

Access to economic capital often opens doors to elite networks, rare opportunities and resource-rich environments. These advantages help sustain privilege across generations and reinforce systems of influence (Coleman, 1988; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). Inside organizations, those with greater economic power frequently shape decision-making and public perception. Their status contributes to both their personal reputation and the overall brand of the organization they represent (Labrecque et al., 2011; Gorbatov et al., 2018).

In online environments, economic capital plays a growing role. Success on digital platforms often requires funding for professional visuals, sponsored content and influencer collaborations. Individuals who have access to these resources tend to dominate attention and engagement (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010; Cavusoglu & Atik, 2021). This highlights how economic, cultural and social capital work together to shape Personal Branding.

In conclusion, economic capital is a vital and dynamic resource. When used wisely, it enables individuals to develop their Personal Brand Equity, stand out in competitive fields and build both personal and professional influence (Ng & Feldman, 2014; Seibert et al., 2001).

3.4 Symbolic Capital

Symbolic capital, the fourth and often least tangible of Bourdieu's forms of capital, refers to the accumulation of honor, prestige and recognition that individuals acquire through their perceived legitimacy and distinction within a given field (Bourdieu, 1986). Unlike economic or cultural capital, symbolic capital does not derive directly from material assets or institutional credentials, but from how these resources are socially interpreted and valued. It is the form of capital that renders other forms effective in producing recognition. In the context of Personal Branding, symbolic capital is a vital force that amplifies authority and influence, often through markers of visibility, credibility and reputation.

Bourdieu (1998) emphasizes that symbolic capital is always relational and contextual. It derives its power not from intrinsic qualities, but from collective perceptions shaped by dominant norms and discourses within a particular social field. For example, a prestigious award or a feature in a reputable publication carries symbolic weight only to the extent that others recognize its value. In this way, symbolic capital is

the capital of perception: it exists only insofar as it is believed in. This aligns with philosophical questions about social construction, collective belief and the politics of legitimacy.

Symbolic capital also overlaps with linguistic practices. Language is one of the primary vehicles through which symbolic legitimacy is communicated. Titles, honorifics, and public labels such as "thought leader" or "award-winning" serve as linguistic tokens of symbolic capital. These terms carry connotative weight and influence how personal brands are interpreted by various audiences. The repeated use of prestige-laden descriptors in digital bios, introductions and media appearances enhances perceived authority and credibility (Kovács, 2024; De Fina et al., 2006).

In Personal Branding, symbolic capital manifests in multiple forms: media visibility, public endorsements, keynote invitations, or follower counts on social media platforms. These elements function as status signals, enabling individuals to project competence and influence beyond their immediate networks. Importantly, these signals are not always under the individual's full control; they depend on recognition by others and are subject to contextual re-evaluation. What is deemed prestigious in academia may be irrelevant or even detrimental in corporate culture, and vice versa (Bourdieu, 1998; Gandini, 2016).

From a strategic standpoint, Personal Branding involves not only the accumulation of economic, cultural and social capital, but also the conversion of these into symbolic capital. A degree (institutionalized cultural capital), a high-profile network (social capital), or financial success (economic capital) only translates into symbolic capital if it is publicly visible and socially endorsed. This performative dimension aligns with Goffman's (1959) dramaturgical perspective, which suggests that social actors curate their presentation of self in ways that secure recognition and sustain legitimacy.

Ultimately, symbolic capital functions as the social currency of status. It plays a pivotal role in reinforcing Personal Brand Equity by solidifying public perceptions of trustworthiness, influence and distinction. Understanding its mechanisms allows individuals to more deliberately design branding strategies that resonate with the expectations of their professional field and the evaluative standards of their audience.

3.5 Beyond Bourdieu: The Role of Psychological Capital in Personal Branding

While Bourdieu's capital theory focuses on cultural, social, economic and symbolic capital, this study extends his framework by incorporating a fifth, internally oriented dimension: psychological capital. Developed within the field of positive organizational behavior, psychological capital encompasses a set of measurable and developable strengths including self-efficacy, hope, optimism and resilience that empower individuals to cope with uncertainty, pursue long-term goals and remain effective in dynamic professional contexts (Luthans, 2002; Luthans et al., 2015).

Self-efficacy, grounded in Bandura's (1997) social cognitive theory, refers to the belief in one's capacity to execute actions required to succeed. Hope, as described by Snyder (2002), involves both goal setting and the perceived ability to find and sustain pathways toward those goals. Optimism reflects a positive attribution style that anticipates favorable outcomes, while resilience represents the ability to recover from adversity and maintain continuity (Luthans et al., 2015; Avey et al., 2011).

In the realm of Personal Branding, these psychological resources serve as intrapersonal assets that shape both how individuals act and how they present themselves. Hope becomes strategic alignment, self-efficacy enables confident storytelling, and resilience supports the ability to frame past setbacks as transformative experiences. These traits also surface linguistically, for example in narratives emphasizing growth, emotional strength or vision (De Fina, Schiffrin & Bamberg, 2006).

The philosophical implications of psychological capital resonate with traditions in virtue ethics and eudaimonic psychology, where agency, self-cultivation and human flourishing are seen as moral pursuits (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). In contrast to more instrumental forms of capital, psychological capital centers on inner capability and self-directed meaning-making, qualities increasingly essential in fluid and visibility-driven professional environments.

Empirical studies have demonstrated its positive impact on work-related attitudes, performance and well-being (Avey et al., 2010; Avey et al., 2011). Within Personal Branding, psychological capital functions as a stabilizing force, allowing individuals to sustain their brand narrative, adapt to shifting market expectations and navigate reputational pressures. Though not part of Bourdieu's original framework, this capital type offers a conceptual bridge between internal psychological strength and external social recognition, enriching the understanding of Personal Brand Equity in both theory and practice.

3.6 Integrative Role of Capital in Personal Brand Equity

In summary, the theoretical foundation of capital theory, as developed by Bourdieu and complemented by sociological, economic and psychological insights, provides a comprehensive lens for understanding how various forms of capital influence Personal Brand Equity (Bourdieu, 1986; Coleman, 1988). While Bourdieu's original framework emphasized cultural, social, economic and symbolic capital, this study extends the perspective by incorporating psychological capital as a complementary dimension that enriches the analysis of Personal Branding. These capitals interact within complex social structures, shaping individuals' access to resources and their ability to position themselves strategically in professional and cultural fields.

Current research demonstrates the multifaceted role that cultural, social, economic, symbolic and psychological capital play in Personal Branding. Their accumulation, strategic use and adaptation to context increase credibility, visibility and perceived value (Putnam, 1995a,b; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998; Stajkovic & Luthans, 1998). From a philosophical perspective, this framework invites reflection on how personal identity is constructed, legitimated and communicated within systems of symbolic power. When building a personal brand, individuals must continually negotiate issues of control over their image (agency), staying true to their values (authenticity), and gaining acceptance from others (recognition).

At the same time, linguistic practices shape how capital is represented and interpreted. The narratives people create about their education, experience, social networks, achievements or resilience serve as vehicles for conveying capital to different audiences. Through discourse, tone and framing, individuals translate abstract assets into compelling identity performances that resonate within specific professional communities (De Fina et al., 2006). This semiotic dimension is especially significant in digital environments where symbolic and linguistic cues often substitute for direct interaction (Kovács, 2024).

By integrating these conceptual elements, this study lays the groundwork for examining how individuals may leverage their capital assets to build a personal brand that is both resilient and distinctive. This integrative approach not only enriches theoretical discussions in sociology, psychology, marketing, philosophy and linguistics, but also offers actionable insights for professionals aiming to sustain influence and recognition in dynamic, digitally mediated environments (Labrecque et al., 2011; Gorbatov et al., 2018).

4. Methodology

This study adopts a multidisciplinary theoretical approach to explore how cultural, social, economic, symbolic and psychological capital contribute to the development of Personal Brand Equity. The methodology synthesizes conceptual insights from sociology, psychology, marketing and economics, and is further enriched by philosophical and linguistic perspectives. The objective is to construct an integrated approach that explains how different forms of capital shape professional identity and perceived value.

Rather than conducting empirical research, the study relies on theoretical analysis and critical interpretation. The focus is on clarifying key constructs, examining their interrelationships and exploring how they function within the context of Personal Branding. The philosophical dimension invites reflection on the ethical, symbolic and identity-related implications of capital accumulation. Concepts such as authenticity, recognition and legitimacy are considered alongside instrumental concerns such as influence, visibility and status. Meanwhile, the linguistic perspective contributes to understanding how individuals

communicate capital through narratives, framing techniques and symbolic language, especially in digital and professional environments.

The analytical foundation is built on a systematic review of academic literature. Core sources include Bourdieu's theory of capital (1986), Coleman's theory of social structures (1988) and Luthans et al.'s framework of psychological capital (2015). These foundational texts are supported by contemporary studies that link capital to branding, identity construction and organizational behavior. Additionally, works from discourse studies and sociolinguistics provide insight into how identity is performed through language (De Fina et al., 2006). These perspectives allow for the examination of how intangible assets are transformed into professional capital via communicative practices.

By integrating these various disciplinary and conceptual strands, the methodology supports the development of a layered, theoretically grounded approach to Personal Brand Equity. The result is a conceptual framework that captures the interplay of cultural, social, economic, symbolic, and psychological capital, offering a holistic foundation for future theoretical elaboration and empirical validation.

5. Discussion

The findings underscore the central role that cultural, social and psychological capital play in enhancing Personal Brand Equity. Cultural capital, as conceptualized by Bourdieu (1998), emerges in both embodied and institutionalized forms. Embodied cultural capital (such as education, professional competence and language proficiency) shapes how individuals express themselves and engage with professional standards. These forms of knowledge and skill function as internalized resources that align individuals with industry expectations and contribute to perceived expertise. Linguistic ability, in particular, serves not only as a communication tool but also as a cultural marker that signals belonging, professionalism and intellectual alignment with a specific field.

Institutionalized cultural capital, including academic degrees, certifications and awards, provides external validation and enhances an individual's status within professional networks. These credentials serve as symbolic indicators of legitimacy and credibility, reinforcing one's position in competitive environments. The objectified form of cultural capital, such as published works, patents or branded visual assets, further enhances visibility and differentiates one's brand through tangible representations of value and achievement.

Social capital, defined by Coleman (1988) and Putnam (1995a,b), also plays a pivotal role in shaping Personal Brand Equity. It includes not only the scope and quality of one's professional relationships, but also the trust, reciprocity and reputational capital that these relationships generate. Individuals embedded in dense and diverse social networks are often better equipped to access hidden opportunities, share knowledge and gain informal endorsements. The structural configuration of a person's social connections influences how others perceive their relevance and reliability, and in turn, how effectively they can mobilize support for their professional initiatives.

From a linguistic standpoint, social capital is also expressed through interactional competence: the ability to use language persuasively, diplomatically and authentically within various social contexts. Being able to tailor one's tone, framing and communicative intent to different audiences reinforces trust and strengthens relational ties. These subtle linguistic performances shape not only what is said, but how identity and credibility are co-constructed in real-time interactions.

Psychological capital, as developed by Luthans et al. (2015), contributes to Personal Branding by equipping individuals with internal resources for navigating uncertainty and maintaining long-term engagement. This form of capital includes self-efficacy, hope, optimism and resilience. These capacities foster a proactive mindset and support sustained effort in self-promotion, personal development and brand consistency. Individuals with strong psychological capital are more likely to communicate their value assertively, recover quickly from reputational challenges and sustain momentum across transitions and setbacks.

Philosophically, psychological capital resonates with classical and contemporary reflections on the self as agentic, purposeful and adaptive. It raises deeper questions about what it means to cultivate one's character and to act with integrity and optimism in a competitive world. From a branding perspective, this dimension helps explain how emotional and cognitive resources are transformed into communicative strength and professional appeal.

Quantitative patterns in the reviewed literature suggest that both embodied and institutionalized cultural capital substantially impact Personal Brand Equity. Embodied capital (such as domain-specific knowledge and soft skills) supports credibility, while institutionalized capital provides the formal endorsements that reinforce it. The influence of social capital is closely tied to the configuration and strategic use of network ties (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998; Bianchi & Vieta, 2020). For example, individuals who occupy bridging positions within professional ecosystems often enjoy disproportionate visibility and influence due to their ability to connect otherwise unlinked groups and circulate knowledge efficiently.

Psychological capital is also strongly associated with self-promotion effectiveness and career agility. Individuals high in psychological capital tend to engage more confidently in thought leadership, embrace challenging roles and navigate uncertainty with composure (Luthans et al., 2015). They are also more likely to maintain consistent brand narratives, aligning personal values with public communication.

Overall, the interplay among cultural, social and psychological capitals indicates that building and sustaining Personal Brand Equity requires an integrated and multidimensional strategy. Success in Personal Branding depends not only on the accumulation of symbolic assets but also on how these assets are embodied, performed and interpreted across social and communicative contexts.

The exploration of various forms of capital offers a profound lens through which to understand their critical roles in enhancing Personal Brand Equity. Personal Branding, in this context, can be viewed as an intersection of multiple disciplines, as outlined in the literature review, including sociology, psychology, marketing and economics (Gorbatov et al., 2018; Labrecque et al., 2011). The interdisciplinary nature of this concept is further enriched by philosophical inquiry into questions of identity, legitimacy and self-presentation, and by linguistic approaches that examine how individuals narrate their value and articulate their positioning through discourse.

By examining these constructs, this study highlights the pathways through which Personal Branding transcends mere self-promotion. It evolves into a strategic and meaning-laden practice that aligns one's unique resources and social influence to generate significant professional outcomes (Granovetter, 1973; Becker, 1993). This integrated approach underscores the dynamic interplay between capital accumulation and Personal Branding strategies, revealing how individuals differentiate themselves, gain visibility and establish credibility in competitive settings (Bourdieu, 1998; Gandini, 2016). In doing so, the study provides both theoretical depth and practical insight into how capital can be leveraged to strengthen Personal Branding and support career advancement, organizational success and broader social influence (Ng et al., 2005; Wolff & Moser, 2009).

One of the key constructs to consider in this process is employability, defined by Fugate et al. (2004) as work-specific active adaptability that enables workers to identify and realize career opportunities. Employability comprises components such as personal adaptability, career identity and human and social capital, all of which are strongly linked to the development of Personal Brand Equity. Personal adaptability enables individuals to respond to changing environments and evolving market demands, thereby reinforcing the flexibility and resilience essential to effective Personal Branding (Brooks & Anumudu, 2016; Gorbatov et al., 2020). Career identity, likewise, plays a crucial role by allowing individuals to clarify, define and communicate their professional persona, which strengthens brand visibility and enhances resonance with target audiences (Cederberg, 2017).

Professional identity can also be conceptualized as a form of human capital, incorporating the skills, experiences and domain knowledge that individuals accumulate over time (Becker, 1993). Personal Branding amplifies this identity through strategic communication and network-building, which reflect and

extend one's social capital (Putnam, 1995a,b; Gorbatov et al., 2018). Through intentional self-presentation, individuals convert symbolic resources into real career outcomes, including job offers, promotions and visibility. Evaluating Personal Branding success often involves feedback from peers, supervisors or clients, as well as indicators such as social media presence or digital endorsements (Bolino et al., 2016; Cavusoglu & Atik, 2021). This aligns with Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital, in which both institutional recognition and embodied knowledge contribute to one's standing. These elements can be measured both through self-perception and external evaluation, providing a more comprehensive understanding of Personal Brand Equity.

Another dimension to consider is social capital, which Bourdieu (1998) grounded in trust, reciprocity and mutual recognition. Coleman (1988) emphasizes the importance of shared norms and relational embeddedness in shaping behavior and enabling individuals to achieve personal and professional goals. High levels of social capital support opportunity access, peer collaboration and sustained visibility. Strong and diverse networks serve as mechanisms for trust-based exchanges, while also fostering collective problem-solving and idea sharing (Zott & Huy, 2007; Putnam, 1995a,b). Linguistically, social capital is often enacted through everyday discourse (introductions, recommendations, informal interactions) that carry implicit meanings about authority, respect and affinity.

The role of economic capital further reinforces this framework. At the individual level, it may be reflected in salary progression or entrepreneurial success; at the organizational level, in business development outcomes or revenue generation. According to Bourdieu (1986), economic capital often interacts with cultural and social capital to create cumulative advantages. Similarly, Becker (1993) and Seibert et al. (2001) describe how human and social capital interact to improve career outcomes and financial performance. Economic capital, in this context, functions as a quantifiable outcome of successful brand positioning and strategic self-management. Its presence may signal competence and impact, providing tangible evidence of professional influence and recognition (Ng et al., 2005; Ng & Feldman, 2014; Wolff & Moser, 2009).

This brings the discussion to the concept of individual performance, which serves as a key outcome of effective Personal Branding. Performance can be operationalized through multiple indicators, depending on role, industry and organizational expectations (Schleicher et al., 2011). Koopmans et al. (2011) emphasize the importance of context-sensitive measures, while Sonnentag et al. (2008) and Bendassolli (2012) argue for aligning performance metrics with broader professional behaviors. Evaluating performance through this lens enables a clearer understanding of how branding contributes to value creation. It also allows the integration of both hard data and narrative assessments, where linguistic framing and self-descriptions become relevant to performance evaluations.

Cultural capital plays a pivotal role in shaping Personal Brand Equity, especially through the lens of perception, symbolic value and formal recognition. Elaborating on Bourdieu's (1986) framework, cultural capital in this context includes formal education, linguistic competence, professional certification and aesthetic awareness (Bourdieu, 1998). These attributes serve as markers of intellectual and social alignment, signaling expertise and legitimacy in competitive spaces (Gandini, 2016).

A key component of symbolic capital is its objectified form – awards, honors and recognitions that manifest as visible symbols of accomplishment. For instance, being named in a high-profile publication or receiving industry awards can significantly increase perceived authority and credibility (Labrecque et al., 2011). These symbolic markers can be actively displayed through online portfolios, professional profiles or public speaking events, reinforcing one's differentiation and influence. The effective use of such symbols illustrates how Personal Branding operates through the semiotic organization of meaning and value, a perspective that aligns closely with linguistics and semiotics.

Additionally, Bourdieu's concept of habitus (1983) provides a deeper philosophical insight into how individuals internalize structures and behave within social fields. In the context of Personal Branding, habitus reflects how professionals navigate expectations, adjust behaviors and perform competence in line with unspoken norms. This includes not only technical expertise but also soft skills and performative

fluency that signal cultural fit. Habitus thus connects one's accumulated experiences with the capacity to act strategically within the constraints and affordances of particular professional environments.

6. Synopsis of the Main Research Outcomes

This study investigated the influence of cultural, social, economic, symbolic and psychological capital on the development of Personal Brand Equity (PBE). Drawing from Bourdieu's capital theory (1986), and building on interdisciplinary contributions from sociology, psychology, and marketing, the research sought to identify the most actionable and identity-relevant forms of capital in personal brand construction (Gorbatov et al., 2018; Labrecque et al., 2011).

While Bourdieu originally proposed four interrelated types of capital (cultural, social, economic, and symbolic) this study also incorporated psychological capital (Luthans, 2002) to reflect contemporary demands on individuals navigating competitive and fluid professional environments. Economic and symbolic capital were not excluded from the theoretical approach of the present paper but were interpreted primarily as outcome-related and reinforcing dimensions. Symbolic capital often arises as the perceived legitimacy and recognition following effective brand construction, while economic capital enables access but is less universally buildable or narratively integrated in branding discourse (Becker, 1993; Bourdieu, 1998).

In contrast, cultural, social, and psychological capital are more directly cultivable, communicable, and context-sensitive, making them especially relevant for empirical inquiry. These capitals are frequently translated into identity performances through language, framing, and storytelling, emphasizing both their philosophical resonance with questions of agency and legitimacy, and their linguistic visibility across platforms (De Fina et al., 2006).

The research was guided by three questions:

6.1 Research Question 1: How does cultural capital affect the development and perceived value of Personal Brand Equity?

The findings suggest that cultural capital, especially in its embodied and institutionalized forms, plays a central role in shaping PBE. Embodied capital, such as accumulated knowledge, communication style, and cultural fluency, helps individuals align with the expectations of their professional field. Institutionalized capital, such as academic degrees and formal qualifications, reinforces trust and credibility. Santos et al. (2018) found that embodied cultural capital often carries greater weight than institutional credentials, suggesting that everyday practices and discourse styles may be more consequential for PBE than formal symbols alone. Language proficiency and the ability to frame knowledge persuasively are key elements that bridge cultural capital and brand perception.

6.2 Research Question 2: In what ways does social capital contribute to the development and enhancement of Personal Brand Equity?

Social capital influences PBE by expanding access to professional networks, trust-based collaborations, and peer endorsements. Individuals embedded in diverse and dense networks tend to receive more visibility and informal support, which enhances perceived competence and relevance (Coleman, 1988; Putnam, 1995a,b). This capital is discursively maintained through relational talk (introductions, recommendations, and sustained interaction) all serve as signals of trustworthiness and alignment (Granovetter, 1973). Those who effectively manage their social presence, both offline and online, tend to enjoy stronger brand equity and broader influence in their fields (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998).

6.3 Research Question 3: What role does psychological capital play in sustaining and communicating a strong personal brand?

Psychological capital, consisting of self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism, empowers individuals to project a coherent and confident brand identity, even under conditions of uncertainty or transition (Luthans et al., 2015). These internal strengths translate into external credibility by enabling goal-oriented storytelling, constructive response to failure, and adaptive tone across media. Philosophically, psychological capital relates to classical notions of agency, growth, and moral character, while linguistically, it is enacted in the way professionals describe challenges, frame learning, and narrate value (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; De Fina et al., 2006).

Taken together, the findings indicate that cultural, social, and psychological capital function both independently and interactively in the construction of Personal Brand Equity. Cultural capital provides the intellectual and aesthetic foundation for differentiation. Social capital amplifies reach and relational depth. Psychological capital ensures consistency, adaptability, and authenticity. These insights affirm that building a strong personal brand is not merely a technical process but one that reflects deep philosophical questions about legitimacy and selfhood, enacted through socially situated language and symbolic practice.

These three forms of capital (supported by but distinct from symbolic and economic capital) offer a dynamic, interdisciplinary approach for understanding how individuals build and communicate their professional identities (Bourdieu, 1998; Gorbatov et al., 2018; Ng et al., 2005)

7. Conclusions

This study provides a comprehensive exploration of how cultural, social, and psychological capital shape and enhance Personal Brand Equity. Grounded in Bourdieu's theory of capital, the research demonstrates that personal branding is not merely an act of self-promotion, but a strategic process rooted in the accumulation, conversion, and communication of diverse forms of capital. By extending the classical sociological framework with insights from psychology, marketing, and economics, the study offers a multidimensional perspective on how individuals navigate symbolic environments to build trust, visibility, and influence in professional fields.

Cultural capital, including formal education, linguistic competence, and embodied knowledge, emerges as a primary source of credibility and differentiation. It provides both the substance and the symbolic currency necessary for building professional legitimacy. Social capital reinforces this foundation by mobilizing trust-based networks and enabling the diffusion of one's brand identity across organizational and social contexts. The communicative performance of social capital (through introductions, recommendations, and discourse practices) further amplifies its impact. Psychological capital, as an internal resource, supports goal alignment, adaptability, and consistency. It ensures that personal brands remain coherent and resilient in the face of professional volatility.

These three capitals were selected for empirical focus because they are both strategically cultivable and communicatively expressible. Economic and symbolic capital were treated as integrative dimensions. Symbolic capital, in particular, functions as the legitimizing effect of well-deployed cultural, social, and psychological assets. It reflects collective recognition and is often the result rather than the source of successful branding. Economic capital, while influential, often mirrors pre-existing structural inequalities and in many cases operates more as an enabler than as an expressive force within the brand narrative.

This study addresses a critical gap in the literature by situating Personal Branding within a broader capital-based approach, offering a richer understanding of the mechanisms through which individuals construct, perform, and sustain professional identity. The approach adopted here emphasizes not only the strategic utility of different forms of capital, but also their performative, discursive, and philosophical dimensions. It recognizes that identity is not simply possessed, but negotiated through social interactions and symbolic

exchange.

In doing so, the study contributes to both academic theory and professional practice. It provides a coherent structure for analyzing how capital shapes branding outcomes and offers actionable insights for individuals seeking to strengthen their position within competitive and dynamic labor markets. This integrative model of Personal Brand Equity thus opens new pathways for interdisciplinary research and practical application in fields ranging from leadership development to talent management and career design.

8. Limitations, Implications, and Further Directions of Research

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged in order to provide a balanced interpretation of the findings and to guide future research efforts. One important limitation concerns the generalizability of the conceptual approach. While the theoretical synthesis was constructed to be broadly applicable, the absence of empirical testing across industries, cultures, and professional life stages limits the model's full explanatory power. Future research should aim to include diverse demographic and organizational samples to assess the universality and cultural specificity of how various forms of capital shape Personal Brand Equity.

A second limitation lies in the reliance on existing literature and theoretical constructs, which, though robust, may not fully capture the dynamic, real-time nature of Personal Branding as it is practiced across digital and hybrid professional environments. Given the prominence of social media and algorithmic visibility in shaping reputation, further inquiry should investigate how capital operates under conditions of technological mediation and online surveillance. These developments also raise philosophical questions about authenticity, agency, and the self as a performative construct, questions central to both classical ethics and contemporary theories of identity in the digital age.

Additionally, much of the literature informing this study draws on self-reported or interpretive approaches to personal and professional identity. While these are valuable, they can introduce subjectivity and overlook structural or institutional constraints. Future studies should consider incorporating other-rated assessments, longitudinal methodologies, and experimental designs to better understand how Personal Brand Equity evolves and how capital flows are perceived and evaluated by others (Mingoti, 2007).

Symbolic and economic capital were treated here primarily as outcome-related dimensions, building on the insight that legitimacy, prestige, and material gain are often consequences of effectively deployed cultural, social, and psychological resources. Nevertheless, future research might explore these two dimensions more directly, particularly in relation to performativity and digital signaling practices. In doing so, scholars could better understand how symbolic value is constructed, contested, and transformed in rapidly shifting professional arenas. Literary studies and discourse analysis could offer additional tools to explore how metaphors, tone, and narrative framing construct symbolic legitimacy across professional texts and platforms.

Several promising future directions also emerge from this study's conceptual foundation. First, the field would benefit from integrating impression management theory to examine how individuals shape perception through curated personal narratives, particularly in online professional ecosystems. Second, reflexivity theories offer tools for analyzing how people reinterpret their identities in response to social change, career transitions, or shifts in normative expectations. Third, deeper engagement with social capital theory could illuminate how trust, network position, and relational reputation function across specific industries. Fourth, the application of enterprising culture theory could reveal how Personal Branding aligns with or resists dominant ideologies of self-optimization and entrepreneurial identity.

The study also opens space for further sociological and philosophical inquiry along four complementary lines. These include (a) the role of narrative construction in identity building, (b) the context-specific mechanisms of capital accumulation in particular organizational fields, (c) the use of language in shaping and maintaining brand coherence, and (d) the classification of Personal Branding as a form of identity labor

embedded in late-modern career structures. Philosophical perspectives on the ethics of self-presentation and the politics of recognition could further deepen our understanding of the norms and tensions that underlie Personal Branding practices.

By expanding on these areas, future research can enhance both the theoretical depth and empirical relevance of the Personal Brand Equity approach. In doing so, it will better reflect how individuals seek recognition, exercise agency, and construct professional legitimacy in a world increasingly shaped by symbolic competition, narrative performance, and the strategic deployment of cultural, social, economic, symbolic, and psychological capital.

References

[1] Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name. New York, NY: Free Press.

[2] Arthur, M. B., Khapova, S. N., & Wilderom, C. P. M. (2017). Career success in a boundaryless career world. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 26(2), 177–202. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.290

[3] Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., Smith, R. M., & Palmer, N. F. (2010). Impact of positive psychological capital on employee well-being over time. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 15(1), 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016998

[4] Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2011). Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 22(2), 127–152. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.20070

[5] Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York, NY: Freeman.

[6] Becker, G. S. (1993). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

[7] Bell, A. (1984). Language style as audience design. Language in Society, 13(2), 145–204. https://doi.org/10.1017/S004740450001037X

[8] Bendisch, F., Larsen, G., & Trueman, M. (2013). Fame and fortune: A conceptual model of CEO brands. European Journal of Marketing, 47(3/4), 596–614. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561311297472

[9] Bianchi, M., & Vieta, M. (2020). Co-operatives, territories and social capital: Reconsidering a theoretical framework. International Journal of Social Economics, 47(3), 392–409. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-03-2020-0135

[10] Bolino, M. C., Klotz, A. C., Turnley, W. H., & Harvey, J. (2016). Exploring the dark side of organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 34(4), 542–559. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1847

[11] Bourdieu, P. (1979). La distinction: Critique sociale du jugement. Paris, France: Les Éditions de Minuit.

[12] Bourdieu, P. (1983). Questões de sociologia. Marco Zero.

[13] Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education (pp. 241–258). Greenwood.

[14] Bourdieu, P. (1998). Practical reason: On the theory of action. Stanford University Press.

[15] Bourdieu, P. (2007). The logic of practice. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

[16] Brooks, A. K., & Anumudu, C. (2016). Identity development in personal branding instruction. Adult Learning, 27(1), 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1177/1045159515616968

[17] Bucholtz, M., & Hall, K. (2005). Identity and interaction: A sociocultural linguistic approach. Discourse Studies, 7(4–5), 585–614. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605054407

[18] Burt, R. S. (2000). The network structure of social capital. Research in Organizational Behavior, 22, 345–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085(00)22009-1

[19] Cavusoglu, L., & Atik, D. (2021). Accumulating capital through social media: The transformative power of underrepresented fashion consumers. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 38(5), 514–524. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-08-2020-4074

[20] Cederberg, C. D. (2017). Personal branding for psychologists: Ethically navigating an emerging vocational trend. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 48(3), 183–190. https://doi.org/10.1037/pro0000129

[21] Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. American Journal of Sociology, 94(Supplement), S95–S120. https://doi.org/10.1086/228943

[22] De Fina, A., Schiffrin, D., & Bamberg, M. (Eds.). (2006). Discourse and identity. Cambridge University Press.

[23] Dumont, G., & Ots, M. (2020). Social dynamics and stakeholder relationships in personal branding. Journal of Business Research, 106, 118–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.013

[24] Fetscherin, M., & Dinnie, K. (2010). The branding of nations: Towards a better understanding. Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, 6(2), 82–96. https://doi.org/10.1057/pb.2010.16

[25] Gandini, A. (2015). Digital work: Self-branding and social capital in the freelance knowledge economy. Marketing Theory, 16(1), 123–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593115607942

[26] Gandini, A. (2016). The reputation economy: Understanding knowledge work in digital society. Palgrave Macmillan.

[27] Georgakopoulou, A. (2017). Sharing the moment as small stories: The interplay between practices & affordances in the social media-curation of lives. Narrative Inquiry, 27(2), 311–333. https://doi.org/10.1075/ni.27.2.06geo

[28] Gergen, K. J. (1991). The saturated self: Dilemmas of identity in contemporary life. Basic Books.

[29] Goffman, E. (1959). The presentation of self in everyday life. Garden City, NY: Doubleday Anchor Books.

[30] Gorbatov, S., Khapova, S. N., & Lysova, E. I. (2018). Personal branding: Interdisciplinary systematic review and research agenda. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 2238. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02238

[31] Gorbatov, S., Khapova, S. N., & Lysova, E. I. (2020). The role of personal branding in shaping employability: Myth or reality? Journal of Vocational Behavior, 119, 103404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2020.103404

[32] Granovetter, M. (1973). The strength of weak ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78(6), 1360–1380. https://doi.org/10.1086/225469

[33] Hackley, C. (2003). Doing research projects in marketing, management and consumer research. Routledge.

[34] Harris, L., & Rae, A. (2011). Building a personal brand through social networking. Journal of Business Strategy, 32(5), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1108/02756661111165435

[35] Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. Business Horizons, 53(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003

[36] Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101

[37] Khedher, M. (2015). A Brand For Everyone: Guidelines for Personal Brand Managing, Journal of Global Business Issues 9(1), 19-27.

[38] Koopmans, L., Bernaards, C., Hildebrandt, V., van Buuren, S., van der Beek, A. J., & de Vet, H. C. (2011).

Conceptual frameworks of individual work performance: A systematic review. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 53(8), 856–866. https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e318226a763

[39] Kovács, L. (2024). Nyelvi kommunikáció a marketingben: Marketingnyelvészet. Budapest, Hungary: Akadémiai Kiadó.

[40] Labrecque, L. I., Markos, E., & Milne, G. R. (2011). Online personal branding: Processes and implications. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 25(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2010.09.002

[41] Lobpries, J., Bennett, G., & Brison, N. (2018). How I perform is not enough: Exploring branding barriers faced by elite female athletes. Sport Marketing Quarterly, 27(1), Fitness Information Technology, Inc.

[42] Luthans, F. (2002). Positive organizational behavior: Developing and managing psychological strengths. Academy of Management Executive, 16(1), 57–72. https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2002.6640181

[43] Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2015). Psychological capital and beyond. Oxford University Press.

[44] Marvel, M. R., Davis, J. L., & Sproul, C. R. (2016). Human capital and entrepreneurship research: A critical review and future directions. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 40(3), 599–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12136

[45] Mead, G. H. (1934). Mind, self and society. University of Chicago Press.

[46] Mingoti, S. A. (2007). Análise de dados através de métodos de estatística multivariada: Uma abordagem aplicada. Editora UFMG.

[47] Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23(2), 242–266. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.533225

[48] Ng, T. W. H., & Feldman, D. C. (2014). Subjective career success: A meta-analytic review. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 85(2), 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.06.001

[49] Ng, T. W. H., Eby, L. T., Sorensen, K. L., & Feldman, D. C. (2005). Predictors of objective and subjective career success: A meta-analysis. Personnel Psychology, 58(2), 367–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.00515.x

[50] Pagis, M., & Ailon, G. (2017). The Paradoxes of Self-Branding: An Analysis of Consultants' Professional Web Pages. Work and Occupations, 44(3), 243-267. https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888417709327

[51] Putnam, R. D. (1995a). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. Journal of Democracy, 6(1), 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1995.0002

[52] Putnam, R. D. (1995b). Tuning in, tuning out: The strange disappearance of social capital in America. PS: Political Science and Politics, 28(4), 664–683. https://doi.org/10.2307/420517

[53] Santos, A.S., Reis Neto, M.T., & Verwaal, E. (2018). Does cultural capital matter for individual job performance? A large-scale survey of the impact of cultural, social and psychological capital on individual performance in Brazil", International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 67(8), 1352-1370, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2017-0110

[54] Schleicher, D. J., Hansen, S. D., & Fox, K. E. (2011). Job attitudes and work values. In S. Zedeck (Ed.), APA handbook of industrial and organizational psychology, Vol. 3. Maintaining, expanding, and contracting the organization, 137–189.. American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/12171-004

[55] Seibert, S. E., Kraimer, M. L., & Liden, R. C. (2001). A social capital theory of career success. Academy

of Management Journal, 44(2), 219–237. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069452

[56] Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. American Psychologist, 55(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5

[57] Snyder, C. R. (2002). Hope theory: Rainbows in the mind. Psychological Inquiry, 13(4), 249–275. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1304_01

[58] Stajkovic, A. D., & Luthans, F. (1998). Self-efficacy and work-related performance: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 124(2), 240–261. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.124.2.240

[59] Szántó, P., Papp-Váry, Á., & Radácsi, L. (2025). Research Gap in Personal Branding: Understanding and Quantifying Personal Branding by Developing a Standardized Framework for Personal Brand Equity Measurement. Administrative Sciences, 15(4), 148. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15040148

[60] Tadajewski, M., & Brownlie, D. (2008). Critical marketing: Issues in contemporary marketing. Wiley-Blackwell.

[61] Tan, C. Y. (2017). Conceptual diversity, moderators, and theoretical issues in quantitative studies of cultural capital theory. Educational Review, 69(5), 600–619. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2017.1288085

[62] Taylor, C. (1989). Sources of the self: The making of the modern identity. Harvard University Press.

[63] Taylor, C. (1991). The ethics of authenticity. Harvard University Press.

[64] Vallas, S. P., & Christin, A. (2017). Work and Identity in an Era of Precarious Employment: How Workers Respond to "Personal Branding" Discourse. Work and Occupations, 45(1), 3-37. https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888417735662

[65] Vallas, S. P., & Cummins, E. R. (2015). Personal Branding and Identity Norms in the Popular Business Press: Enterprise Culture in an Age of Precarity. Organization Studies, 36, 293-319., https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840614563741

[66] van Dijk, T. A. (2006). Discourse and manipulation. Discourse & Society, 17(3), 359–383. https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926506060250

[67] Wee, L., & Brooks, A. (2010). Personal Branding and the Commodification of Reflexivity. Cultural Sociology, 4(1), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975509356754

[68] Wolff, H. G., & Moser, K. (2009). Effects of networking on career success: A longitudinal study. Journal of Applied Psychology, 94(1), 196–206. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013350

[69] Zott, C., & Huy, Q. N. (2007). How entrepreneurs use symbolic management to acquire resources. Administrative Science Quarterly, 52(1), 70–105. https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.52.1.70