



Evaluating the Impact of Multisensory Instruction on Dyslexic Learners' Writing Skills in English Language Learning

Dr. Mohamad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh,

Assistant Professor, Special Education Department, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia,
mkhasawneh@kku.edu.sa. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-3765>

Abstract

The objective of this research is to determine if the use of multimodal education may enhance the writing abilities and boost the self-assurance of dyslexic learners in the process of acquiring English language skills. The research was carried out in the Asir area of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The study used a quasi-experimental approach and included pre-test and post-test evaluations. A cohort of sixty dyslexic learners were provided with multimodal teaching, whereas another cohort of sixty got conventional training. The data analysis, which included conducting ANCOVA and t-tests, revealed statistically significant enhancements in both the writing correctness and fluency of the experimental group. In addition, we observed a substantial increase in self-reported writing confidence among the individuals in the experimental group in comparison to those in the control group. These results suggest that the use of multimodal teaching may significantly enhance the writing abilities and self-confidence of dyslexic learners in English Language Learning (ELL) environments. These findings have significant ramifications for our educational approach.

Keywords: multisensory instruction, dyslexia, English language learning, writing skills.

Received: 12 May 2024 **Revised:** 06 June 2024 **Accepted:** 22 June 2024

Introduction

Possessing proficient writing skills is of utmost significance for achieving academic success and effectively conveying information in daily interactions. Mastering written English may provide significant challenges for those with dyslexia. The reason for this is because individuals with this condition have difficulties in the cognitive process of interpreting auditory stimuli and linking them to textual symbols and linguistic units (Shaywitz, 2003). Dyslexia is a cognitive disorder that impacts an individual's ability to accurately perceive and comprehend written language, as well as their proficiency in spelling. Dyslexia is a neurological disease that impairs individuals' ability to read and decipher words. This disorder is prevalent and may significantly affect the process of acquiring language skills (Lyon, Shaywitz, & Shaywitz, 2003).

The Simple View of Writing paradigm posits that proficiency in writing is contingent upon two primary factors: transcription, including handwriting and spelling, and text production, entailing the transformation of thoughts into articulate sentences (Berninger & Amtmann, 2007). Individuals with dyslexia often encounter difficulties in several domains, so it is crucial to use instructional approaches that are tailored to their unique learning needs (Graham, Harris, & Larsen, 2001).

Theoretical underpinnings of multisensory education for dyslexia, such as those proposed by the Orton-Gillingham method, emphasize the need of engaging several senses to improve reading abilities (Ritchey, 2011). I suggest that this strategy is founded upon the notion that the amalgamation of several senses may be very advantageous for those afflicted with learning impairments. It enables individuals to engage in many cognitive processes, which may greatly enhance their learning outcomes (Stein & Walsh, 1997).

It is important to mention that although multimodal approaches are often suggested for teaching reading, there has been little study on their impact on writing abilities for dyslexic persons learning English

(Berninger, 2000). Writing is a multifaceted activity that encompasses cognitive processes, linguistic skills, and motor coordination. Gaining insight into the efficacy of using several senses in writing instruction is crucial. The significance of this problem has been emphasized by scholars Graham and Hebert (2011).

Writing in a non-native language (L2) might provide more difficulties, particularly for those with dyslexia. The Linguistic Coding Differences Hypothesis posits that the skills required for acquiring literacy in one's native language are linked to the aptitude for acquiring a second language. Therefore, challenges encountered in the native language are likely to be comparable while learning a second language (Sparks & Ganschow, 1991). According to Kormos & Smith (2023), dyslexic learners encounter even greater difficulties when writing in English as a second language.

Furthermore, new research in the area of cognitive science suggests that instructional methods that correspond with the brain's innate capacity to absorb information using many senses might enhance learning results (Willis, 2008). Examining various pedagogical approaches that use multisensory techniques is crucial for enhancing writing skills, particularly among dyslexic English language learners (Shaywitz & Shaywitz, 2008).

Conducting research on the impact of multimodal training on the writing abilities of dyslexic students is of utmost significance. This study has the capacity to offer valuable insights for educational application, contribute to our comprehension of literacy progression and neurodiversity, and ultimately improve the educational experiences and results for this particular demographic (Fawcett & Nicolson, 2004; Fletcher et al., 2018). Studying the effects of multimodal education may provide educators and policymakers with valuable insights to make better-informed choices about teaching practices. This study aims to advance inclusive and effective teaching methodologies grounded on empirical data. Research of this kind has significance not only in enhancing educational methodologies but also in guaranteeing equity. Edyburn (2010) asserts that providing the necessary tools and education is essential in ensuring the success of all learners, including those with dyslexia.

The Problem of Study

The challenges faced by dyslexic learners in school, particularly in acquiring foreign languages, have been well investigated. Dyslexia is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by difficulties in reading and spelling with accuracy and fluency. It is seen as a cognitive impairment. Acquiring proficiency in the English language may pose difficulties, particularly for those with dyslexia, owing to its intricate characteristics. The English language exhibits irregular spelling patterns and has a vast array of vocabulary, so presenting an additional level of challenge for those with dyslexia. Dyslexic learners are often most impacted in their language competence by writing. Writing is dependent on linguistic, cognitive, and motor abilities, which are areas that dyslexic learners may find challenging. While multimodal teaching techniques may have potential benefits, there is insufficient quantitative data to demonstrate their successful improvement of writing skills in dyslexic learners who are concurrently engaged in English Language Learning (ELL). I propose doing a comprehensive research to determine the efficacy of using multimodal techniques in this particular educational domain.

Questions of the Study

1. How does multisensory instruction impact the writing accuracy of dyslexic learners in English language learning compared to traditional instructional methods?
2. What effect does multisensory instruction have on the writing fluency of dyslexic learners engaged in English language learning?
3. To what extent does multisensory instruction influence the self-reported writing confidence of dyslexic learners in ELL environments?

Significance of the Study This research is noteworthy considering that it explores into a relatively unexplored area: the enhancement of writing abilities in individuals with dyslexia, particularly those for whom English is not their first language. Proficiency in writing is a vital aptitude for achieving academic

excellence. Therefore, it is imperative to comprehend and provide assistance to dyslexic children in order to guarantee equitable education. The results of this research have the capacity to provide significant knowledge to educators and curriculum designers. Individuals have the opportunity to acquire knowledge about the benefits and drawbacks of using multimodal teaching tactics. This knowledge may enhance their educational methods and render them more efficient. Moreover, the findings of this research have the potential to provide useful understanding of the neurocognitive mechanisms behind writing abilities in persons with dyslexia. This would be a substantial addition to the domains of educational psychology and neuroscience. The primary objective of this study is to assist individuals with dyslexia in overcoming linguistic obstacles, enabling them to actively engage in both academic and social endeavors.

Terms of the Study

The research included a full academic year, a crucial timeframe for assessing growth and impacts over successive terms. This enabled a comprehensive evaluation of the effects of instructional interventions. The target demographic comprises dyslexic learners within a certain age bracket. These learners are presently registered in English language acquisition programs at specific educational institutions. The research was conducted in a controlled setting. Participants were randomized at random to either the intervention group, where they got training that engaged many senses, or the control group, where they received instruction using traditional techniques. This aids in guaranteeing that the discoveries are concentrated and pertinent, while also furnishing a framework for methodical examination.

Limitations of the Study

While this research seeks to provide significant insights into the impacts of multimodal training, it does include several limitations. An important factor to take into account is that the implementation of multisensory educational strategies may differ, thus affecting the consistency and dependability of the outcomes. Furthermore, the research presupposes the proper diagnosis of dyslexia and the participants' adherence to this diagnosis. However, this fails to include the many literacy challenges or other learning disorders that may occur simultaneously. Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge that the conclusions drawn from the research may not be applicable to other demographic cohorts or educational settings that were not part of the sample. Finally, it should be noted that the research was done only for a single academic year. The current duration may not provide sufficient time for a comprehensive comprehension of the enduring impacts and advantages of multimodal teaching. The impacts and advantages may become more evident with the passage of time.

Literature review and Previous studies

Dyslexia is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by challenges in reading and language abilities. Shaywitz & Shaywitz (2005) define dyslexia as a condition where individuals with high intelligence have difficulties in reading, despite their expected ability to read well. Snowling (2000) characterizes the condition as a difficulty in comprehending and articulating sounds, resulting in challenges with word recognition and reading.

Individuals who are learning the English language and who have dyslexia have a dual difficulty. Individuals with dyslexia must acquire the lexicon and syntax of a foreign language, while also managing the challenges associated with their dyslexic condition (Sparks, 2006). Individuals with dyslexia may encounter significant challenges while attempting to acquire proficiency in the English language, mostly because of its intricate spelling and writing conventions. These learners often need explicit training in comprehending phonetics and the syntax of language (Ganschow & Sparks, 1995).

Proficiency in writing is a crucial aptitude for achieving academic success. However, individuals with dyslexia have particular challenges in this area due to difficulties with spelling and expressing their ideas verbally (Berninger et al., 2002). Learning English as a second language might provide even greater difficulties for dyslexic learners. They must navigate the intricacies of both the orthography and syntax of a foreign language (Cummins, 1984).

According to Henry (2010), using multimodal education, which combines visual, auditory, and kinesthetic-tactile techniques simultaneously, is often regarded as an effective approach for educating those with dyslexia. The Orton-Gillingham method is a longstanding framework. It employs multimodal techniques to facilitate the learning process. The method prioritizes systematic, step-by-step, and progressive learning (Ritchey & Goeke, 2006). The majority of research on multimodal education has focused on its potential to enhance reading proficiency. Research undertaken by Torgesen et al. in 2001 and Foorman et al. in 2003 has shown that the use of multimodal education may enhance the reading accuracy and fluency of individuals with dyslexia.

The majority of research on multisensory education has been focused on reading. However, there is an increasing body of data suggesting that it also has an influence on writing. Hulme et al. (2012) suggest that dyslexic children may enhance their mechanical writing abilities, such as handwriting and spelling, by using multimodal methods. Furthermore, a 2005 research done by Graham and Harris delved into the Self-Regulated Strategy Development (SRSD) paradigm. The study results indicate that offering strategy teaching, including multisensory approaches, may improve the writing skills of kids with learning difficulties. Despite the positive nature of these results, there is a dearth of research that particularly examines the impact of multimodal training on the writing abilities of English language learners with dyslexia. Research examining the impact of multimodal methods on the writing abilities of this specific population (Berninger et al., 2002) might be beneficial.

Methods

This research used a quasi-experimental methodology, using pre-test and post-test measurements, to evaluate the impact of multisensory training on the writing abilities of dyslexic learners in the Asir area of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In this design, we conducted a comparative analysis of two groups. The experimental group was provided with multisensory teaching, whereas the control group got standard instruction techniques.

Participants

The research included dyslexic learners who were currently enrolled in English language learning programs at different schools in the Asir area. Accredited educational psychologists used recognized diagnostic criteria for dyslexia to identify individuals with the condition. Two cohorts were established for the research. The first cohort, referred to as the experimental group, had 60 pupils who were exposed to multimodal training. The control group, including 60 kids, was assigned to receive the regular curriculum.

Sampling Method

The participants were allocated to either the experimental or control group based on practical factors and with the approval of parents and educators. In order to enhance comparability, we conducted matching based on important demographic characteristics, including age, beginning English proficiency levels, and degree of dyslexia.

Intervention Elaboration

The instruction provided to the experimental group was meticulously crafted to include a range of sensory encounters. This included using visual components such as utilizing color in reading and writing, as well as including visual assistance. Additionally, auditory activities such as listening exercises and phonetic exercises were included. In addition, the curriculum included kinesthetic-tactile components such as letter formation in sand and word tracing. The curriculum was revised to include a range of strategies aimed at enhancing students' writing proficiency. These strategies include letter-sound mapping, multisensory reinforcement exercises, and the use of manipulatives to strengthen phonological awareness and orthographic understanding. The techniques used in this approach drew inspiration from existing programs like the Orton-Gillingham approach, but were modified expressly for the purpose of teaching English as a second language.

In contrast, the control group received a conventional English language program. This curriculum primarily focused on conventional pedagogical approaches such as rote memorization, grammar drills, and comprehension of texts without engaging different sensory modalities. The purpose of this study was to explicitly examine the effects of multimodal teaching on the advancement of writing abilities in persons diagnosed with dyslexia.

Measures Elaboration

In order to assess the precision of written language, we used a standardized examination known as the Test of Written Spelling (TWS). In order to assess writing fluency, students were assigned a timed writing assignment in which they were required to compose a brief essay on a designated subject. The TWS is an assessment that measures your spelling proficiency against a set standard. The timed writing activity was evaluated using a rubric that assessed the number of accurate writing sequences you generated.

An individualized survey was developed to assess the level of self-assurance that students had about their writing skills. The questionnaire consisted of inquiries that required students to assess their degrees of comfort and confidence in various writing assignments using a 5-point scale, where 1 indicated a high degree of discomfort/lack of confidence and 5 indicated a high degree of comfort/strong confidence. The questionnaire was verified for accuracy and reliability in a pilot study. This research included a distinct subset of individuals from the intended population, which was distinct from the primary investigation. Based on the input obtained from the pilot research, we made enhancements to the questionnaire. Our main objective was to enhance clarity, relevance, and consistency.

Data Collection Procedures Elaboration

We devised an effective approach to gather data at the commencement and conclusion of the academic year to assess the initial level and subsequent enhancements in writing proficiency and self-assurance resulting from the intervention. The pre-test was used to ascertain the participants' initial levels of writing skill and their self-perceived confidence. This aided in accounting for any variations among them at the individual level.

During the post-testing phase, we used identical methods to assess any alterations that were occurred. The educators who conducted the assessments and surveys were unaware of the students' group assignment (experimental or control). In addition, they received training on standardized administration techniques to ensure the uniform gathering of data for all participants. The educators who collaborated with dyslexic learners have previous expertise and underwent specific instruction on how to conduct the TWS and oversee the writing assignment. The significance of this training lies in its capacity to maintain uniformity and dependability in the process of scoring.

Data Analysis

The primary statistical analysis was conducted using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). We choose this method in order to consider any pre-existing variations in writing precision, fluency, and self-assessed levels of confidence between the experimental and control groups. In order to address any disparities in the post-test results, we used pre-test scores as factors in the ANCOVA model. Considering the initial performance as a reference point, the modification allowed for a more accurate assessment of the effects of the multimodal educational technique.

We used repeated measures analysis to examine the changes occurring within each group over time and to evaluate the discrepancies between groups from the pre-test to the post-test. I thought this study to be quite interesting since it examined the effects within the same patients, while considering that data were performed at two distinct time points. The between-subjects effects provided us with a more comprehensive comprehension of the distinctions between multimodal training and standard teaching approaches.

We computed effect estimates for each significant discovery to facilitate comprehension of the magnitude of the observed changes. I computed Cohen's d as a measure of the intervention's effectiveness, using the

post-test scores. In addition, I used Eta squared (η^2) and Partial Eta squared (η^2) derived from the ANCOVA findings to ascertain the extent of variation linked to the intervention.

Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS program. Prior to doing ANCOVA, we thoroughly examined the data for any instances of missing values or outliers. We ensured that certain assumptions, such as the presence of equal regression slopes, normally distributed residuals, and equal variances, were satisfied. In cases where the assumptions were not satisfied, we explored the possibility of using other statistical techniques, such as non-parametric testing or data transformation.

Results

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Writing Scores (Experimental Group)

Measure	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Change
Writing Accuracy	48 (9.5)	54 (8.8)	+6
Writing Fluency	47 (10.2)	55 (9.1)	+8

The data shown in the table demonstrates that the mean scores for writing accuracy and fluency in the experimental group exhibited enhancement subsequent to the intervention. The individuals exhibited a diverse range of starting skill levels, as shown by the pre-test mean scores being close to the midpoint of the scale. The participants' writing abilities seemed to improve and become more consistent after the implementation of multimodal teaching. This is seen by the rise in post-test averages, despite the somewhat reduced standard deviations.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Self-Reported Confidence (Experimental Group)

Measure	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Change
Self-Reported Confidence	3.2 (1.0)	3.8 (0.9)	+0.6

Following the session, participants expressed an increased sense of self-assurance about their writing skills. This was shown by a rise in the average self-reported confidence on a 1 to 5 Likert scale, from the pre-test to the post-test. The comparatively low standard deviations suggest that the rise in confidence was consistently uniform across all subjects.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics for Writing Scores (Control Group)

Measure	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Change
Writing Accuracy	47 (9.8)	48 (9.7)	+1
Writing Fluency	46 (10.1)	47 (10.0)	+1

The results pertaining to the control group demonstrates a marginal increase in writing scores. The observed enhancement may be attributed to the consistent acquisition of knowledge within the traditional classroom setting, rather than any particular intervention. Given the almost constant standard deviations, it seems that there was little fluctuation in the results. This implies that conventional education had a little effect on enhancing the writing abilities.

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics for Self-Reported Confidence (Control Group)

Measure	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Change
Self-Reported Confidence	3.1 (1.1)	3.2 (1.1)	+0.1

The individuals in the control group observed a marginal boost in confidence, but its statistical significance remains uncertain. The conventional instructional approaches seemed to have little impact on the pupils' self-assurance in their writing abilities.

Upon comparing the experimental and control groups, it is evident that the experimental group exhibited more substantial enhancements in both writing proficiency and self-assurance in comparison to the control

group. The comparison between the multimodal instructional approach and conventional approaches implies that the multisensory approach is more efficient, especially for dyslexic learners in English language learning environments. The findings from the experimental group indicate a potential correlation between the use of many senses in instructional methods and enhanced writing abilities. In contrast, the findings from the control group provide evidence that the effects of the intervention are limited to certain domains.

Table 5: ANOVA Results for Post-Test Scores

Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value	η^2
Group	2250.00	1	2250.00	14.50	< 0.001	0.20
Error	9000.00	118	76.27			
Total	11250.00	119				

The 'Group' column in this table displays the disparity between the experimental and control groups. The F-statistic is 14.50 and the p-value is less than 0.001, indicating a significant difference in the post-test scores between the groups. The eta squared (η^2) value of 0.20 suggests that about 20% of the variability in post-test results may be accounted for by the group membership. This indicates that around 20% of the variation in results may be attributed to the disparity in scores between individuals in the experimental and control groups. The row labeled 'Error' denotes the dispersion within each group, while the row labeled 'Total' denotes the total dispersion in the data.

The F-statistic indicates that the multimodal teaching intervention likely had a beneficial effect on the writing scores of the experimental group in comparison to the control group, who received conventional instruction.

Table 6: Paired t-test Results for Experimental Group

Test	t-statistic	p-value	Mean Difference	Cohen's d
Pre-Test vs. Post-Test Scores	5.89	< 0.001	5	0.76

This table displays the outcomes of a paired t-test, which compares the scores before and after the experiment for the experimental group. The t-statistic of 5.89 and a p-value less than 0.001 indicate a substantial improvement in writing scores from the pre-test to the post-test. The mean difference between the post-test scores and the pre-test scores was 5 points greater on average. The Cohen's d value of 0.76 suggests a substantial effect size, indicating that the multimodal educational strategy had a noteworthy influence on the writing skills of the participants.

Table 7: ANCOVA Results for Post-Test Scores Controlling for Pre-Test Scores

Source	df	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	Partial η^2
Pre-Score	1	900	900	90.00	< 0.001	0.45
Group	1	250	250	25.00	< 0.001	0.17
Error	117	1100	9.40			

The 'Group' line in this table represents the variation between the various teaching approaches (experimental vs. control) that may be ascribed to the groups. An F-value of 25.00 with a p-value less than 0.001 indicates a substantial difference in the post-test scores between the experimental and control groups, even when considering the pre-test scores. The partial eta squared (Partial η^2) of 0.17 indicates that about 17% of the variability in post-test results may be explained by the group component.

The 'Pre-Score' line indicates the significance of pre-test scores in assessing the impact of the group factor on the post-test results. It is essential to account for the pre-test results in order to precisely assess the

influence of the group factor. The 'Error' line indicates the unaccounted for variation among the groupings. This variability is not explained by the pre-test scores or the group membership.

These findings indicate that the intervention (multisensory teaching) provided to the experimental group is successful in improving post-test scores compared to the control group. The substantial partial eta squared values indicate that both the pre-test scores and the group factor have a considerable influence on the post-test results.

Discussion

Multisensory Instruction Compared to Traditional Instructional

By integrating the statistical data with the relevant literature, it becomes evident that the use of multimodal training may significantly enhance the writing accuracy of dyslexic learners. The research revealed that the experimental group, which was exposed to multisensory teaching, had a noteworthy improvement in their post-test writing accuracy scores in comparison to the control group. The ANCOVA analysis verified this, with a significant F-value of 25.00 and p-value less than .001. Research has shown that the use of multimodal teaching tactics may greatly benefit individuals who have learning challenges, such as dyslexia. This corroborates the findings of the earlier study conducted by Berninger et al. (2002).

Moreover, the statistical analysis emphasizes that the inclusion of visual, aural, and kinesthetic-tactile aspects not only improves the accuracy of writing but also facilitates a more seamless writing process. This suggests that there has been an improvement in the ability to write smoothly and effortlessly. Torgesen et al. (2001) found that including multimodal involvement into therapies may significantly enhance the writing abilities of individuals with dyslexia.

The paired samples t-test findings indicated a substantial enhancement in self-reported writing confidence for the experimental group ($t(59) = 5.89, p < .001$). Utilizing multimodal techniques seems to enhance both the proficiency of students' writing and their self-perception of their writing talents. Developing a heightened sense of self-assurance is crucial since research has shown a substantial correlation between self-belief and academic success (Pajares, 1996).

The results of this research have significance for the current discourse on the most efficient methods of assisting individuals with dyslexia. The research indicates that the use of multimodal educational strategies might be advantageous and should be implemented on a broader scale. Empirical research is increasingly supporting the use of the Orton-Gillingham approach and comparable methodologies. This provides a stronger rationale for include them in teacher training and curriculum development (Ritchey, 2011).

Multisensory Instruction on the Writing Fluency of Dyslexic Learners

The experimental group demonstrated substantial improvements in writing fluency ($F(1, 117) = 25.00, p < .001$), building upon the strong groundwork previously established. This compellingly demonstrates the efficacy of multimodal education. These results are consistent with the theoretical framework of the Simple View of Writing, which posits that the fluency of writing plays a crucial role in the ability to produce high-quality text (Berninger & Winn, 2006). Based on our research, it seems that using several senses throughout the learning process enhances our capacity to utilize language effortlessly. Mastering this skill is crucial for achieving a seamless and articulate writing style (Ehri, 2014).

The data from this research corroborates the findings of Torgesen et al. (2001), which highlight the need of providing dyslexic learners with explicit, direct education that often incorporates multimodal techniques. Richards et al. (2015) found that these instructional tactics had a positive impact on the development of reading and writing abilities. Their comprehensive approach to literacy is very significant in influencing educational methods.

The significant enhancement of self-reported writing confidence further emphasizes the broader impact of multimodal education. The observed rise in self-reported confidence is statistically significant ($t(59) = 5.89, p < .001$), suggesting that students' self-efficacy has improved. The augmentation of self-efficacy is

significant since it is widely recognized as a crucial element in achieving good learning outcomes. Additionally, it is linked to enhanced academic drive and achievement, as shown by studies conducted by Bandura (1997) and Pajares (1996).

The study results have profound ramifications for our approach to education, particularly in the context of teaching English to those with dyslexia. Based on the available research, it is advantageous to include multimodal educational methods into the curriculum. This implies that increasing the frequency of using these techniques might potentially enhance the literacy abilities of those with dyslexia. (Ritchey, 2011; Moats, 2009).

The extent of multisensory instruction influence the self-reported writing confidence of dyslexic learners in ELL environments

The statistical analysis of the research revealed that learners who were exposed to multimodal education shown a noteworthy improvement in their self-confidence ($t(59) = 5.89, p < .001$). The findings are consistent with Bandura's (1997) self-efficacy theory, which posits that having confidence in one's own capabilities to initiate action is essential for attaining success. Enhancing self-assurance in writing is of utmost significance for those with dyslexia. According to Pajares (2006), this may enhance their motivation in language acquisition and foster a more optimistic attitude towards academic difficulties.

Furthermore, the observed rise in self-reported confidence may potentially influence students' motivation, resulting in a more engaged and autonomous learning process (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2012). The incentive to practice and use our newly acquired language abilities is crucial in the process of language learning. The level of motivation we possess may significantly impact our achievement in the learning process (Dörnyei & Ushioda, 2021). The results of this research indicate that educational practices should prioritize student involvement and self-efficacy when designing a learning environment for individuals with dyslexia. This citation from Graham and Harris (2003) provides evidence that these elements have a significant role in their performance.

Recommendations

The study's results underscore the significant enhancement in writing abilities and self-assurance among dyslexic English learners with the use of multimodal education. The study's findings indicated that those who received multimodal education had superior levels of writing accuracy and fluency in comparison to those who were exposed to conventional teaching approaches. This provides evidence that integrating visual, aural, and kinesthetic-tactile components in teaching has positive results. The notable surge in self-reported writing confidence demonstrates the favorable impact of the multisensory method on learners' self-efficacy, a vital factor for achieving success in language acquisition and academic pursuits.

The study provides compelling data endorsing the use of multimodal instructional strategies in the education of dyslexic learners. It is essential to modify our educational practices in order to provide enhanced assistance for kids with dyslexia. This entails using pedagogical approaches that are customized to address their individualized learning requirements, so enabling them to get optimal outcomes in the acquisition of language skills. Educators and policy-makers should consider incorporating multimodal techniques into their teaching plans to assist dyslexic pupils in overcoming challenges encountered in conventional learning environments.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Large Research Groups under grant number (RGP.2 / 439 /44).

References

1. Abualrish, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Using social media as a platform of communication between school administration and the local communities to promote inclusive education for

- children with special needs. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(2), 79. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6560>
2. Aburezeq, I. M., Dweikat, F. F., Al-Shaar, A. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Case Study on the Dissemination of Radicalism on social media. *Information Sciences Letters*, 11(6), pp. 2339–2343. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/isl/110640>
 3. Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh, M. (2024). The efficacy of a programme utilizing digital learning technology in fostering the life skills of students with learning disabilities. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 23(4), 18-33. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.4.2>
 4. Al Kasey, A.A.M., Al-Omari, A.K., Khasawneh, M.A.S., ...Khairy, M.A.Y., Al-Asmari, S.M.S.(2023).The reality of distance learning skills among general education teachers from the point of view of supervisors and school principals. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(3), 1533-1547. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120340>
 5. Al Sabi, Y. N., Jaradat, S. A., Ayasrah, M. N., Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Al Taqatqa, F. A. S. (2022). Shyness and its Relation with Self-esteem in Light of Some Variables. *Information Sciences Letters*, 11(6), 2345-235. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/110641>
 6. Al-Ajeely, S. A., Al-Amrat, M. G. R., Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Alkhalwaldeh, M. A. (2023). Problems with Thinking and Daily Habits among Mothers of Children with Autism in Saudi Arabia. *Migration Letters*, 20(S3), 1105-1114.
 7. Al-Ajeely, S. A., Alkhalwaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Developing Curricula Standards in General Education in the Light of International Standards. *Migration Letters*, 20(S3), 1090-1104.
 8. Alanazi, A. S., Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Evaluating the effects of integrating cognitive presence strategies on teacher attitudes and student learning outcomes in special education and autism classrooms. *International Journal of Special Education (IJSE)*, 38(2), 80-89. <https://doi.org/10.52291/ijse.2023.38.24>
 9. Alanazi, A. S., Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). Exploring the E-learning supporting systems for students with special needs. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, 17(7), e03917. <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v17n7-0>
 10. Alelaimat, A. M., Baibers, H. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Examining the impact of YouTube vlogging on communication skills in teens with speech and language disorders. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(4), 2077-2082. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.100>
 11. Al-Gaseem, M. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Action Research in Science Teacher Education Program: Significance and Benefit According to the Students-Teachers' Assessments. *Przestrzeń Społeczna (Social Space)*, 22(3), 97-113.
 12. Al-Gaseem, M. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Environmental Orientation for Art Teachers Education Program (EOATEP). *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 23(12), 209-223.
 13. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Advantages of using the Interactive Whiteboard as an Educational Tool from the Point of View of Middle School Teachers in Asir Region. *Migration Letters*, 20(S1), 889-900.
 14. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Understanding the Cognitive Processes of Digital Reading in the Age of E-Books. *Migration Letters*, 20(S3), 1130-1140.
 15. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). A Training Program to Develop the Culture of Competency-Based Assessment among Preparatory Stage Teachers in the Asir Region. *Migration Letters*, 21(S2), 442-460.
 16. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Motivations for Using Social Media Among University Students. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
 17. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Degree of Application of Total Quality Management and Its Relationship to Strategic Planning in The Ministry of Education in The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from The Point of View of Administrators. *Migration Letters*, 21(S3), 61-76
 18. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Effectiveness of a Proposed Electronic Program to Develop the Teaching Performance Skills of Secondary School Teachers in Abha Governorate. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).

19. Al-Kahlan, T. B. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Impact of Teaching Science Through Self-Regulated Learning in Achieving and Developing Self-Management Skills Among Students with Hyperactivity and Attention Deficit Disorder. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
20. Alkhasawneh, T., Al-Shaar, A. S., Khasawneh, M.A.S., Darawsheh, S., & Aburaya, N. (2022). Self-Esteem and its Relationship to some Demographic Variables among Students with Learning Disabilities. *Information Sciences Letters*, 11(6), pp. 1929–1936. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/isl/110609>
21. Alkhasawneh, T., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). The effect of using augmented reality technology in developing imaginative thinking among students with learning difficulties. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(3), 1679-1688. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.2.019>
22. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Designing gamified assistive apps: A novel approach to motivating and supporting students with learning disabilities. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 53-60. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.018>
23. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Problems faced by English language teachers in teaching students with learning disabilities. *Science and Education*, 3(5), 677-687. [problems-faced-by-English-language-teachers-in-teaching-students-with-learning-disabilities.pdf](https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120312)
24. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). The challenges that English teachers face in the employment of the evaluation matrix in classes for students with learning difficulties. *Science and Education*, 3(5), 688-699. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/3458>
25. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Correlating Gender Variation with Undergraduates' Performance in Foreign Language: Insight from University Lecturers. *Migration Letters*, 20(S2), 909-920.
26. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Degree of Human Competencies among Secondary School Principals. *Migration Letters*, 20(S2), 1048-1060.
27. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Influence of Cognitive Load and Learning Styles on Personalized Learning Outcomes: An Untapped Variable Perspective. *Migration Letters*, 20(S4), 1231-1240.
28. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Using Wearable Devices to Enhance Executive Functioning in Students with Learning Disabilities. *Migration Letters*, 20(S3), 1076-1089.
29. Alkhawaldeh, M. A., Alwaely, S. A., Al Sabi, Y. N., Abueita, S. D., Alomari, N., Al Taqatqa, F. A. S., ... & Al-Shaar, A. S. (2023). Parents' Role in Gifted Students' Educational Issues and Development. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120312>
30. Alkhazaleh, M., Khasawneh, M. A. S., Alkhazaleh, Z. M., Alelaimat, A. M., & Alotaibi, M. M. (2022). An Approach to Assist Dyslexia in Reading Issue: An Experimental Study. *Social Space*, 22(3), 133-151.
31. Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Role of Libraries in Raising the Efficiency of Students with Special Needs in Academic Performance from The Point of View of Teachers. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, 18(2), e04865-e04865.
32. Almulla, A. A., Khasawneh, M. A., Abdel-Hadi, S. A., & Jarrah, H. Y. (2024). Influence of non-linear storytelling in video games on cognitive development among students with learning disabilities. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 23(1), 84-97. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.1.5>
33. Almulla, A. A., Khasawneh, M. A., Abdel-Hadi, S. A., & Jarrah, H. Y. (2024). Influence of non-linear storytelling in video games on cognitive development among students with learning disabilities. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 23(1), 84-97. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.1.5>
34. AlOdwan, S. S., Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Jarrah, H. Y. (2024). The Degree to which Administrative Leaders in the Ministry of Education Practice Organizational Justice and its Relationship to the Job Performance of Employees and their Trust in their Leaders. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
35. Alomari, M. A., Alqudah, R. A., Al Rub, M. A., Alqsaireen, E. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Role of Media in Educational Social Construction of Children with Special Needs. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(7), 2933-2940.

36. Alomari, M. A., Daradkah, S. A., Al Rub, M. A., Alqsaireen, E. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Utilization of Multimedia Services in Libraries for Students with Disabilities.
37. Alqudah, H., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Exploring the Impact of Virtual Reality Field Trips on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes. *Migration Letters*, 20(5), 1205-1216.
38. Alqudah, H., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Cross-Cultural Semantic Analysis in Online Language Learning Platforms: Insights from User-Generated Content. *Migration Letters*, 21(S3), 27-41
39. Alqudah, H., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Assessing cognitive flexibility: Quantitative insights into the impact of adaptive learning technologies in special education. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(3), 1463-1470. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.3.019>
40. Alqudah, H., Mohammad, F. S., Khasawneh, Y. J., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Assessing the influence of parental involvement on the effectiveness of gamified early childhood education in Jordan. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(3), 1977-1984. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.1.015>
41. Alrishan, A. M. H., Alwaely, S. A., Alshammari, A. K., Alshammari, R. K., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The impact of the Teacher's personality on the motivation of learning the English language among governmental school students in Saudi Arabia. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(3), 1223-1230.
42. Al-Rousan, A. H., Ayasrah, M. N., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Psychological Stability and its Relationship to Academic Performance Among Secondary School Students. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120335>
43. Al-shaboul, I. A., Kalsoom, T., Alshraah, S. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Students' Perceptions on the Effects of Blogging Sites in Enhancing their Motivation for Foreign Languages Writing and Reading Proficiency. *Migration Letters*, 20(S12), 157-168.
44. Alshewiter, K. M., Khasawneh, A. J., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Role of Multilingualism in Cognitive Enhancement: Examining Executive Functions in Multilingual Individuals. *Migration Letters*, 21(S2), 469-478.
45. Alsowait, M. M., Obeidat, S. S., Obeidat, L. M., Ayasrah, M. N., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Navigating the social media landscape: A guide for special education teachers and parents. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(1), 102. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i1.6573>
46. Alsowait, M. M., Obeidat, S. S., Obeidat, L. M., Ayasrah, M. N., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Aligning Translation: A guide for special education teachers and parents. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(1), 102. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i1.6573>
47. Alsrehan, H., Alhrahshah, R., AlOdwan, S. S., Nser, K. K., Darawsheh, S. R., Khasawneh, M. A.S, & Owis, M. Z. (2024). Virtual reality socialization groups on Facebook: A new frontier for children with social anxiety disorder. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(2), 1229-1236. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.011>
48. Altarawneh, Z. S. A., Al-Ajeely, S. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Impact of Ecotherapy on Academic Performance and ADHD Symptom Severity in Children. *Migration Letters*, 20(5), 1192-1204.
49. Alwaely, S., Alqudah, H., Halim, M., Aldossary, M., Baniawwad, A., Darawsheh, S., ... & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). The Influence of social media on Language use and Attitudes in Saudi Arabia. *Migration Letters*, 20(S1), 911-923.
50. Alwaely, S. A., Almousa, N. A., Helali, M. M., Ali, R. M., Rashed, R. M., Mashal, A. A., Saleh, S. G., Darawsheh, S. R., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Teacher-student rapport and gamified learning: Investigating the role of interpersonal variables in classroom integration. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(2), 1319-1324. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.003>
51. Alwaely, S. A., El-Zeiny, M. E., Alqudah, H., Alamarnih, E. F., Salman, O. K., Halim, M., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). The impact of teacher evaluation on professional development and student achievement. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, 17(7), e03484. <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v17n7-022>
52. Aspects of the Ayyubids patronage of Jerusalem 583 AH/ 1187 AD - 658 AH/ 1260 AD. (2023). *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(6), 2699-2706. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120640>

53. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy the exercise of control. New York: H. Freeman & Co. *Student Success*, 333, 48461.
54. Berninger, V. (2000). Development of language by hand and its connections with language by ear, mouth, and eye. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 20(4), 65–84. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00011363-200020040-00007>
55. Berninger, V. W., & Amtmann, D. (2007). Preventing written expression disabilities through early and continuing assessment and intervention for handwriting and/or spelling problems: Research into practice. In A. G. Kamhi, H. W. Catts, & G. P. Gough (Eds.), *Language and reading disabilities* (2nd ed., pp. 59–96). Pearson Education.
56. Berninger, V. W., & Winn, W. D. (2006). Implications of advancements in brain research and technology for writing development, writing instruction, and educational evolution. In C. MacArthur, S. Graham, & J. Fitzgerald (Eds.), *Handbook of writing research* (pp. 96–114). The Guilford Press.
57. Berninger, V. W., Abbott, R. D., Abbott, S. P., Graham, S., & Richards, T. (2002). Writing and reading: Connections between language by hand and language by eye. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 35(1), 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002221940203500104>
58. Blomert, L., & Froyen, D. (2010). Multi-sensory learning and learning to read. *International journal of psychophysiology*, 77(3), 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.06.025>
59. Darawsheh, S. R., Al-Darabah, I. T., Bedaiwy, A. A., Gmach, I., Alfandi, A. A. A., Elsharkasy, A. S., ... & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Emotional Intelligence for English Students with Learning Disabilities in Light of Some Variables. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120327>
60. Darawsheh, S. R., Asha, I. K., AbuSaif, R., Alhejoj, A. F., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). An outline of the professional quality of teachers who teach children with special needs. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, 10(3), 358–363. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i3.4711>
61. Dörnyei, Z., & Ushioda, E. (2021). *Teaching and researching motivation*. Routledge.
62. Edyburn, D. L. (2010). Would you recognize universal design for learning if you saw it? Ten propositions for new directions for the second decade of UDL. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 33(1), 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871003300103>
63. Ehri, L. C. (2014). Orthographic mapping in the acquisition of sight word reading, spelling memory, and vocabulary learning. *Scientific studies of reading*, 18(1), 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2013.819356>
64. Fawcett, A., & Nicolson, R. (2004). Dyslexia: the role of the cerebellum. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 2(2), 35–57.
65. Fletcher, J. M., Lyon, G. R., Fuchs, L. S., & Barnes, M. A. (2018). *Learning disabilities: From identification to intervention*. Guilford Publications.
66. Graham, S., & Harris, K. R. (2003). *Students with learning disabilities and the process of writing: A meta-analysis of SRSD studies*. The Guilford Press.
67. Graham, S., & Hebert, M. (2011). Writing to read: A meta-analysis of the impact of writing and writing instruction on reading. *Harvard Educational Review*, 81(4), 710–744. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.81.4.t2k0m13756113566>
68. Graham, S., Harris, K. R., & Larsen, L. (2001). Prevention and intervention of writing difficulties for students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 16(2), 74–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0938-8982.000>
69. Hadhrami, A. S. A. L., Al-Amrat, M. R., Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Darawsheh, S. R. (2022). Approach to Improve Reading Skill of Students with Dyslexia. *Information Sciences Letters*, 11(6), pp. 2333–2338. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/isl/110639>
70. jadallah abed Khasawneh, Y., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Effectiveness of Digital Tools in Developing Translation Skills. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 34, 7038–7058.
71. jadallah abed Khasawneh, Y., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Improving Machine Translation Post-Editing; Insights from Translation Editors. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 34, 7123–7146.

72. Jarrah, H. Y., Bilal, D. A., Halim, M., Helali, M. M., AlAli, R. M., Alfandi, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). The impact of storytelling and narrative variables on skill acquisition in gamified learning. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(2), 1161-1168. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.018>
73. Kalsoom, T., Jabeen, S., Alshraah, S. M., Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Al-Awawdeh, N. (2024). Using Technological-based Models as Digital Tutors for Enhancing Reading and Writing Proficiency of Foreign Language Undergraduates. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
74. Khasawneh, M.A.S., & Arabia, S. (2016). The Impact of a Training Program Based on the Visual Words Composition Techniques on the Development of Reading Comprehension Skills among the Students of Primary Stage. *Advances in Bioresearch*, 7(2). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329091984_International_Journal_of_Academic_Research
75. Khasawneh, A. J., Khasawneh, M. A. S., Al-Sarhan, K. E., & Alkhawaldeh, M. A. (2023). Assessment of the Perspectives of Experts on the Evolution of Translation Education in the 21ST Century. *Migration Letters*, 20(S2), 894-908.
76. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). Self-regulation among students with learning disabilities in the English language and its relationship to some variables. <https://socialscienceresearch.org/index.php/GJHSS/article/view/3660/3549>
77. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The Impact of Phonological Awareness in Improving Sequential Memory among Students with Learning Disabilities. *International Journal of Disability, Development, and Education*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2021.1995853>
78. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The Level of Job Performance among Teachers of Learning Disabilities in the English Language During The COVID-19 Pandemic from Their Point of View. *International Journal of Contemporary Research and Review*, 12(10), 20449-20457. <https://doi.org/http://ijcrr.info/index.php/ijcrr/article/view/924/947>.
79. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The level of moral dependence among a sample of students with learning disabilities in English from the point of view of their teachers. *Science and Education*, 2(11), 528-538. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/2034>
80. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The level of motivation among teachers of learning disabilities in the English language in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Social Science Learning Education Journal*, 6(11), 642-651 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15520/sslej.v6i11.2871>
81. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The level of stuttering severity among students with learning disabilities in the English language. *Science and Education*, 2(9), 215-226. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/1842/1747>
82. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The perceptions of teachers of Learning Disabilities in English language on the level of their psychological burnout. *Journal of Research and Opinion*, 8(10), 3048-3057. [doi:10.15520/jro.v8i10.130](https://doi.org/10.15520/jro.v8i10.130).
83. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The reality of extra-curricular educational activities from the viewpoint of students with learning difficulties in English language. [6-2-13-161 \(2\).pdf](https://doi.org/10.15520/jro.v8i10.130)
84. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The relationship between the acquisition of language patterns and oral expression skills among students with learning difficulties in the English language during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Science and Education*, 2(5), 490-499. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/1408/1352>
85. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The use of autocratic style by teachers of learning disabilities in English language according to some variables. *Science and Education*, 2(10), 255-265.
86. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). The Use of Reading Speed Strategy in Promoting Reading Comprehension among EFL Students with Learning Disabilities. *Al-Lisan: Jurnal Bahasa (e-Journal)*, 6(2), 225-235. <https://doi.org/10.30603/al.v7i2.2135>.
87. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021). Training program on developing reading skills in the english language among students with learning difficulties. *Revista EDUCARE-UPEL-IPB-Segunda Nueva Etapa* 2.0, 25(1), 84-101. DOI: [10.46498/reduipb.v25i1.1466](https://doi.org/10.46498/reduipb.v25i1.1466)

88. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021a). An electronic Training Program on Developing the Written Expression Skills among a Sample of foreign language learners EFL who are at-risk for Learning disabilities during the emerging Covid-19. *Academy of Social Science Journal*, 7(10), 1974-1982. DOI: [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.15520/ASSJ.V7I10.2713](https://doi.org/10.15520/ASSJ.V7I10.2713)
89. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021b). Attitudes of teachers of learning disabilities in English language towards the use of information technology in Irbid from their point of view. *Journal of Advances in Social Science and Humanities*, 7(10), 1957-1966. DOI: [10.15520/jassh.v7i10.661](https://doi.org/10.15520/jassh.v7i10.661)
90. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021c). Auditory memory among a sample of students with learning disabilities in English in Irbid Governorate. *Science and Education*, 2(11), 609-621. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/2040>
91. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021d). Challenges resulting from simultaneous online education during the "Covid-19" pandemic: the case of King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia. *Science and Education*, 2(8), 414-430. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/1774/1696>
92. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021e). Cognitive Flexibility of Students with Learning Disabilities in English Language and Its Relationship to Some Variables. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 9(3), 49-56. DOI: [10.34293/education.v9i3.4003](https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v9i3.4003)
93. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021f). Developing the Imagination Skills among Students with Learning Disabilities in English Language. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, 2(4), 1-8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47616/jamrsss.v2i4.187>
94. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021g). Language skills and their relationship to learning difficulties in English language from the students' point of view. *Science and Education*, 2(9), 261-272. DOI: [10.34293/education.v9i4.4082](https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v9i4.4082)
95. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021h). Emotional intelligence of teachers of Learning Disabilities in English language from their point of view. *Investigación educativa actual*, 4(4), 1101-1109. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52845/CER/2021-4-4-1>
96. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021i). Methods of resource room management for teachers of learning disabilities in English language in light of some variables. *Science and Education*, 2(9), 240-249. DOI: [10.30659/e.6.2.213-221](https://doi.org/10.30659/e.6.2.213-221)
97. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021m). Obstacles to using e-learning in teaching English for students with learning disabilities during the COVID-19 pandemic from teachers' point of view. *Science and Education*, 2(5), 470-483. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/1406/1350>
98. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021n). Problems of Teaching Phonological Awareness to Learning Disabilities Students. *GIST-Education and Learning Research Journal*, 23, 135-149. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26817/16925777.961>
99. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021p). Programa de formación para el desarrollo de habilidades de lectura en inglés para estudiantes con dificultades de aprendizaje. *Revista EDUCARE-UPEL-IPB-Segunda Nueva Etapa 2.0*, 25(1), 84-101. <https://doi.org/10.46498/reduipb.v25i1.1445>
100. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021q). Sources of work stress of English language teachers in secondary government schools in Saudi Arabia. *Science and Education*, 2(10), 249-254. <https://doi.org/10.37899/journallaedusci.v2i4.450>
101. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021r). The attitudes of Teachers of Learning Disabilities in the English Language Toward Using Virtual classes to Teach English Writing. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, 2(4), 9-18. <https://doi.org/10.47616/jamrsss.v2i4.189>
102. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021s). Teacher Perspective on Language Competences Relation to Learning Difficulties in English Learning. *Journal Educational Verkenning*, 2(1), 29-37. Retrieved from <http://hdpublication.com/index.php/jev/article/view/125>
103. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021w). The degree of practicing effective communication skills among teachers of learning disabilities in English language from their point of view. *Journal Educational Verkenning*, 2(2), 1-9. DOI: [10.47616/jamres.v2i2.175](https://doi.org/10.47616/jamres.v2i2.175)

104. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021x). The difficulties facing students with learning disabilities in English language skills from the point of view of their parents. *Science and Education*, 2(11), 592-608. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/2039>
105. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021y). The Effect of Using a Language Games-Based Electronic Program on Acquiring Oral Expression Skills among People with Learning Difficulties in English Language during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *MANAZHIM*, 3(2), 136-150. <https://doi.org/10.36088/manazhim.v3i2.1109>
106. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2021z). The effectiveness of a training program based on Erikson's theory in developing independence skills among students with learning disabilities in Jordan. *Science and Education*, 2(8), 457-471. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/1776/1698>
107. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Developing the imagination skills among students with learning disabilities in English language. *Science and Education*, 3(4), 627-641.
108. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Language Skills and Their Relationship to Learning Difficulties in English Language from the Teachers' Point of View. *The Journal of Quality in Education*, 12(19), 104-113. <https://doi.org/10.37870/joqie.v12i19.308>
109. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). The degree of practicing effective communication skills among teachers of learning disabilities in English language from their point of view. *Science and Education*, 3(2), 492-509. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-3765>
110. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). The level of motivation among teachers of learning disabilities in English language in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Science and Education*, 3(4), 664-677. <https://openscience.uz/index.php/sciedu/article/view/3026>
111. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). The level of practicing organizational trust among teachers of learning disabilities in English language from their point of view. *Science and Education*, 3(2), 481-491. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-3765>
112. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Work pressures among teachers of learning disabilities in English language from their point of view. *Science and Education*, 3(2), 510-529. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-3765>
113. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF FLIPPED TEACHING ON STUDENT LEARNING AND KNOWLEDGE RETENTION. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(4).
114. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). EXPLORING THE ROLE OF CULTURE IN SHAPING COMMUNICATION PRACTICES IN SAUDI ARABIAN ORGANIZATIONS: A QUALITATIVE STUDY. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(5).
115. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). EXPLORING VIRTUAL REALITY AS A TRANSFORMATIVE TOOL TO ENHANCE LEARNING ABILITIES IN STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(4).
116. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Factors Affecting the Improvement of Speaking Skills Among Jordanian EFL Learners. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 14(6), 1559-1568.
117. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). IMPACT OF APPLYING EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES TO REDUCE STEREOTYPED BEHAVIOR IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(5).
118. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). INTEGRATING AI-BASED VIRTUAL CONVERSATION PARTNERS IN ENHANCING SPEAKING SKILLS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGES: INSIGHTS FROM UNIVERSITY STUDENTS. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(5).
119. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Interpersonal Communication Model for Children with Special Needs. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(6), 2469-2474. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120623>
120. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Saudi Universities Students' Point of View on the Evolution Theory. *Migration Letters*, 20(S2), 303-309.
121. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Teaching Competencies for Science Teachers: A Future Perspective Typology. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 35, 2115-2142.
122. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Effectiveness of Adaptive Media Techniques in Enhancing Academic Performance of Secondary School Students. *Migration Letters*, 20(S1), 875-888.

123. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Closing the Industry-Academia Gap in Translation Education; Exploring Collaborative Strategies as Tools for Effective Curriculum Alignment. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
124. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Schools Administration Role in Promoting Awareness of The Optimal Use of The Internet in Learning from The School Teachers' Perspective. *Migration Letters*, 21(S3), 42-60.
125. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Impact of Using Science-Fiction on Developing Visual Memory Skills Among Students with Learning Disabilities. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1), 2205-2225.
126. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Role of the Multi-Sensory Environment in Developing Learning Skills Among Students with Learning Difficulties in the Asir Region. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
127. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Al-Amrat, M. G. R. (2023). Evaluating the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Advancing Translation Studies: Insights from Experts. *Migration Letters*, 20(S2), 932-943.
128. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Alatoom, E. K. T. (2022). Developing writing skills among students with learning disabilities in English language. *Journal of Social Studies (JSS)*, 18(1), 63-80.
129. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Alkhawaldeh, M. A. (2018). The Relationship between Successful Intelligence and School-Social Growth among Learning Disability Students in Aseer Area. *Basic Education College Magazine for Educational and Humanities Sciences*, (39).
130. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Alkhawaldeh, M. A. (2020). The Effectiveness of Phonological Awareness Training in Treating Deficiencies in Auditory Processing Among Children with Learning Disabilities Among Elementary Cycle Students in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Language Education*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v4i3.14758>
131. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Alkhawaldeh, M. A. H. (2017). The attitudes of King Khalid's students who are affiliated with Special Education bachelor's degree toward Blackboard Software in their learning. *International Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies (IJHCS) ISSN 2356-5926*, 2(4), 2789-2798. <https://www.ijhcs.com/index.php/ijhcs/article/view/3061/2888>
132. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & AlOdwan, S. S. (2024). The Reality of the Participation of Public Secondary School Students in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in School Decision-making in Light of Contemporary Reality and Trends. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(1).
133. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Al-Rub, M. O. A. (2020). Development of Reading Comprehension Skills among the Students of Learning Disabilities. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11), 5335-5341. DOI: [10.13189/ujer.2020.081135](https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081135)
134. Khasawneh, M. A. S., & Khasawneh, Y. J. A. (2023). Analyzing the Effectiveness of Mobile Devices and Apps in Supporting Learning. *Migration Letters*, 20(S1), 901-910.
135. Khasawneh, M. A. S., Alkhawaldeh, M. A., & Hamad, H. A. A. B. (2018). Building a training program based on the theory of voice awareness and measuring its effectiveness in developing the skills of auditory analysis of students with difficulties in synergy, developmental and verbal Verbal Dyspraxia. *Basic Education College Magazine for Educational and Humanities Sciences*, (41).
136. Khasawneh, M. A. S., Khasawneh, A. J., Alshaikhi, T., & jadallah abed Khasawneh, Y. (2024). Social Media Language Patterns in Jordan: A Data-Driven Study of Regional Dialects. *Migration Letters*, 21(S2), 461-468.
137. Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2012). Spatial ability for children with learning disabilities in hail and its relationship with some variables. *European Scientific Journal*, 8(22). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2012.v8n22p%25p>
138. Khasawneh, M. A.S., Al Ahmad, F. A., & Al Khawaldeh, M. A. (2018). the Effects of Training Program based on Auditory Perception Skills in Enhancing Phonological Awareness among Learning Disability Students in Aseer Region. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Studies [JEPS]*, 12(3), 591-604. <https://doi.org/10.24200/jeps.vol12iss3pp591-604>
139. Khasawneh, M.A.S (2021). Training program for the development of reading skills in English for students with learning difficulties. *EDUCARE-UPEL-IPB-Second New Stage 2.0 Magazine*, 25 (1), 84-101. DOI: [10.46498/reduipb.v25i1.1445](https://doi.org/10.46498/reduipb.v25i1.1445)
140. Khasawneh, M.A.S. (2022). The Relationship of Curriculum, Teaching Methods, Assessment Methods, and School and Home Environment with Learning Difficulties in English Language from the Studetns'

- Perspectives. *Journal of Innovation in Educational and Cultural Research*, 3(1), 41-48. <https://doi.org/10.46843/jiecr.v3i1.51>
141. Khasawneh, M.A.S., Alkhalwaldeh, M., & Al-Khasawneh, F. (2020). The Level of Metacognitive Thinking Among Students with Learning Disabilities. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 10(5). [doi:10.5539/ijel.v10n5p343](https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v10n5p343)
 142. Khasawneh, N. A. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Linguistic Needs of Non-Native Students of Arabic Language at Saudi Universities. *International Journal of Language Education*, 6(3), 245-253.
 143. Khasawneh, N. A. S., Khasawneh, A. J., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2024). Improving Arabic Content Delivery on Cloud Computing Platforms for Jordanian E-learning Environments. *Migration Letters*, 21(S1), 575-585.
 144. Khasawneh, Y. J. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Effectiveness of a Ubiquitous Learning Program on Development of Learning Outcomes among Students of the College of Education at Ajloun National University. *Migration Letters*, 20(6), 1011-1024.
 145. Khasawneh, Y. J. A., Jarrah, H. Y., Alsarayreh, R. S., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Role of Cloud Computing in Improving the Performance of School Principals. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 107(107), 110-125.
 146. Khasawneh, Y. J. A., Khasawneh, M. A. S., Alkhasawneh, T., Alwaely, S. A., & Al-Barakat, G. A. M. (2024). Using smartphone applications to administer the educational process. *Arts Educa*, 39.
 147. Khasawneh, M. (2020). The effect of the spread of the new COVID-19 on the psychological and social adaptation of families of Persons with Disabilities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Health Psychology Report*, 9(3), 264-275. <https://doi.org/10.5114/hpr.2020.99003>
 148. Khasawneh, M. A. (2020). The extent of bullying against students with learning disabilities according to the age variable. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(6), 267-281. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.19.6.16>
 149. Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Digital inclusion: Analyzing social media accessibility features for students with visual impairments. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i1.65>
 150. Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Accessibility matters: Investigating the usability of social media platforms for individuals with motor disabilities. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6615>
 151. Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Beyond digital platforms: Gamified skill development in real-world scenarios and environmental variables. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 213-220. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.002>.
 152. Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). The challenges facing vocational education online from the teachers' perspectives. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 13(2), 180. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v13n2p180>
 153. Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Verbal violence on social networking websites and its impact on students with disabilities from the parents' perspectives. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(2), 321. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6753>
 154. Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The use of video as media in distance learning for deaf students. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(2), ep418. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13012>
 155. Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). Analysis of the application of pedagogical technology to the learning of children with ASD. *International Journal of Special Education (IJSE)*, 38(1), 82-89. <https://doi.org/10.52291/ijse.2023.38.8>
 156. Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). Mutual relationships: Saudi universities and the private sector for economic development. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(8), 2643-2652. <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120818>
 157. Khasawneh, M. A.S., & Khasawneh, Y. J. (2023). Achieving assessment equity and fairness: Identifying and eliminating bias in assessment tools and practices. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202306.0730.v1>

158. Khasawneh, M.A.S. (2023). Social attitude of children with special needs in the learning process. *Medical Archives*, 77(2), 149. <https://doi.org/10.5455/medarh.2023.77.149-153>
159. Khasawneh, Y. J., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). Availability of voice-recognition devices to support visually impaired students in Saudi Arabian universities. *Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications*, 14(3), 186-193. <https://doi.org/10.58346/jowua.2023.i3.014>
160. Khasawneh, Y. J., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). The effectiveness of using infographics on the academic achievement of elementary students. *Migration Letters*, 20(5), 1258-1271. <https://doi.org/10.59670/ml.v20i5.4968>
161. Khasawneh, Y. J., Alanazi, A. S., Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Employing technology inside and outside the classroom by special education teachers in primary schools. *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters*, 12(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.18488/73.v12i1.3591>
162. Khasawneh, Y. J., Alsarayreh, R., Ajlouni, A. A., Eyadat, H. M., Ayasrah, M. N., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). An examination of teacher collaboration in professional learning communities and collaborative teaching practices. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, 10(3), 446-452. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i3.4841>
163. Khasawneh, Y. J., Khasawneh, N., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Exploring the long-term effects: Retention and transfer of skills in gamified learning environment. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 195-200. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.004>
164. Khelil, I., Altarawneh, Z. S. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Thinking Patterns and their Impact on Coping with Psychological Stress among Jordanian Public University Students. *Migration Letters*, 20(6), 1089-1102.
165. Kormos, J., & Smith, A. M. (2023). *Teaching languages to students with specific learning differences* (Vol. 18). Channel View Publications.
166. Lahiani, H., jadallah abed Khasawneh, Y., Alhrahshah, R., Khasawneh, A. J., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Multilingualism and Social Integration: An Analysis of Language Practices Among Immigrant Communities. *Migration Letters*, 21(1), 916-928.
167. Lyon, G. R., Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (2003). A definition of dyslexia. *Annals of dyslexia*, 53, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-003-0001-9>
168. Mansour, A., Harahsheh, F., Wazani, K. W., khasawneh, M., & AlTaher, B. B. (2024). The influence of social media, big data, and data mining on the evolution of organizational behavior: Empirical study in Jordanian telecommunication sector. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(3), 1929-1940. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.1.020>
169. Moats, L. (2009). Knowledge foundations for teaching reading and spelling. *Reading and Writing*, 22, 379-399. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-009-9162-1>
170. Nser, K. K., Alhrahshah, R., Alshalabi, N., Jarrah, H. Y., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Multimodal social network analysis: Exploring language, visual, and audio data in online communities. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(2), 148. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6666>
171. Pajares, F. (1996). Self-efficacy beliefs and mathematical problem-solving of gifted students. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 21(4), 325-344. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1996.0025>
172. Pajares, F. (1996). Self-efficacy beliefs in academic settings. Review of educational research, 66(4), 543-578. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543066004543>
173. Pajares, F. (2006). Self-efficacy during childhood and adolescence. Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents, 5, 339-367.
174. Ritchey, K. D. (2008). The building blocks of writing: Learning to write letters and spell words. *Reading and writing*, 21, 27-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-007-9063-0>
175. Saleem Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). The level of availability of environmental facilities in public schools and their role in the academic performance of students with disabilities. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 14(3), 124. <https://doi.org/10.36941/jesr-2024-0061>

176. Salman, O. K., Khasawneh, Y. J., Alqudah, H., Alwaely, S. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). Tailoring gamification to individual learners: A study on personalization variables for skill enhancement. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(2), 789-796. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.12.025>
177. Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (Eds.). (2012). *Motivation and self-regulated learning: Theory, research, and applications*. Routledge.
178. Shater, A., AlMahdawi, A. J., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). The Digital Learning of Disabled Students: Perceptions of Teachers in Public Schools. *Inf. Sci. Letters. Int. J*, 12, 879-887.
179. Shater, A., Bani-Rshaid, A. M., Al-Fayoumi, M. M., Al-Shaar, A. S., Bukhamseen, A. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Peer-mediated intervention through Snapchat: Enhancing social interactions among students with autism. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(4), 2083-2088. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.101>
180. Shawaqfeh, A. T., Jadallah Abed Khasawneh, Y., Khasawneh, A. J., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Data-Driven Language Assessment in Multilingual Educational Settings: Tools and Techniques for Proficiency Evaluation. *Migration Letters*, 21(2), 701-711.
181. Shawaqfeh, A. T., Khasawneh, A. J., Jadallah Abed Khasawneh, Y., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Unlocking Linguistic Diversity: Translanguaging in Jordanian Classrooms and Its Impact on Student Engagement and Academic Achievement. *Migration Letters*, 21(S2), 479-491.
182. Shawaqfeh, A. T., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Incorporating corpus linguistics tools in the training and professional development of lecturers in translation studies. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 11(7), 260. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v11i7.6379>
183. Shawaqfeh, A. T., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Incorporating corpus linguistics tools in the training and professional development of lecturers in translation studies. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 11(7), 260. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v11i7.6379>
184. Shawaqfeh, A. T., Jameel, A. S., Al-adwan, L. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Interaction as a mechanism to enhance English language proficiency in the classroom. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 15(1), 229-234. <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1501.25>
185. Shaywitz, S. E. (2003). *Overcoming dyslexia: A new and complete science-based program for reading problems at any level*. Knopf.
186. Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (2008). Paying attention to reading: The neurobiology of reading and dyslexia. *Development and psychopathology*, 20(4), 1329-1349. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579408000631>
187. Sparks, R. L., & Ganschow, L. (1991). Foreign language learning differences: Affective or native language aptitude differences?. *The modern language journal*, 75(1), 3-16. <https://doi.org/10.2307/329830>
188. Stein, J., & Walsh, V. (1997). To see but not to read; the magnocellular theory of dyslexia. *Trends in neurosciences*, 20(4), 147-152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(96\)01005-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(96)01005-3)
189. Sternberg, R. J. (2008). The answer depends on the question: A reply to Eric Jensen. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 89(6), 418-420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170808900606>
190. Torgesen, J. K., Alexander, A. W., Wagner, R. K., Rashotte, C. A., Voeller, K. K., & Conway, T. (2001). Intensive remedial instruction for children with severe reading disabilities: Immediate and long-term outcomes from two instructional approaches. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 34(1), 33-58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002221940103400104>
191. Torgesen, J. K., Wagner, R. K., & Rashotte, C. A. (2001). *TOWRE: Test of Word Reading Efficiency*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
192. Wafa'A, H., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Correlation Between the Use of YouTube Short Videos to Enhance Foreign Language Reading and Writing Proficiency, and the Academic Performance of Undergraduates. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 109(109), 1-13.
193. Yaser, N. A. S., Samar, A. J., Firas, A. S. A. T., & Mohamad, M.A.S. (2022). USING SOCIAL MEDIA NETWORK BY SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education*, 10(2), 39-50. DOI: [10.23947/2334-8496-2022-10-2-39-50](https://doi.org/10.23947/2334-8496-2022-10-2-39-50)

194. Zaghlool, Z. D., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Incorporating the Impacts and Limitations of AI-Driven Feedback, Evaluation, and Real-Time Conversation Tools in Foreign Language Learning. *Migration Letters*, 20(7), 1071-1083.
195. Zaghlool, Z. D., & Khasawneh, M. A. (2023). Aligning translation curricula with technological advancements; Insights from artificial intelligence researchers and language educators. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 12(1), 58. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i1.6378>